Sask
Local govt

FIFTY-EIGHTH ANNUAL REPORT

of the

Local Government Board

of the

PROVINCE OF SASKATCHEWAN

for the twelve months ended December 31

1972

LEGISLATIVE LIBRARY OF ONTARIO
TORONTO
FEB 20 1973
RECEIVED

Regina, Saskatchewan,
January 31, 1973.

The Honourable Allan Blakeney,
President of the Executive Council,
Legislative Building,
Regina, Saskatchewan.

Sir:

I have the honour to transmit to you the Report of the Local Government Board for the year ending December 31, 1972.

I have the honour to be, Sir,

Your obedient servant,

H. S. McMillan,
Chairman.

Regina, Saskatchewan,
January 31, 1973.

The Honourable Allan Blakeney,
President of the Executive Council,
Legislative Building,
Regina, Saskatchewan.

Sir:

Section 58 of The Local Government Board Act, being Chapter 43, Revised Statutes of Saskatchewan, 1965, provides as follows:-

> "58. (1) The board shall, in the month of January in each year, transmit to the president of the Executive Council for the year ending on the thirty-first day of December previous, a report showing briefly:
>
> (a) applications to the board and summaries of the findings made thereon;
>
> (b) the number and the nature of the inquiries that it has held of its own motion;
>
> (c) such matters as the Lieutenant Governor in Council directs.
>
> (2) The report shall be laid before the Legislative Assembly during the first fifteen days of the then next ensuing session, or within fifteen days after its receipt if the Legislature is then sitting."

and pursuant to these requirements, the following pages summarize the work of the Board during the year 1972, and represent its Fifty-Eighth Annual Report.

The Local Government Board exists and operates by virtue of the provisions of The Local Government Board Act referred to above, from which it receives its powers and basis for its procedures. It is a body corporate with perpetual succession and a common seal, and has been in existence since January 1, 1914.

The Board has a wide variety of responsibilities assigned to it by the Legislature. Authority for its actions is contained in a number of statutes as listed in Appendix "A" hereto. These activities are primarily in the field of local government and involve, for the most part, some form of control over raising and spending capital funds. The primary purpose of the Board would seem to be to preserve good credit ratings and to ensure financial stability of Saskatchewan local municipal governments in cases where any capital works are being financed through borrowed funds. The Board, therefore, has an interest in the financial implications of policies followed by cities, towns, villages, rural municipalities, school districts, school units, union hospital districts and other local authorities in the province. Because of its position, the Board has influence beyond that provided by the statutes, and this influence together with the controls it legally possesses are directed towards encouraging prudent and careful operations by local governments in the province with the end result of retaining good credit ratings and strong financial positions.

The Board is also the public utility board for the Province of Saskatchewan, and in this regard, it exercises control over franchise agreements granted by municipal governments permitting private parties to operate utilities in the community. The volume of work in this area of the Board's jurisdiction is quite limited due to the small number of public utilities in the province owned and operated by private companies.

The Board is represented by its Chairman on the Municipal Water Assistance Board and the Industrial Towns' Committee. The members of the Board also constitute the Board of Revenue Commissioners under the Department of Finance Act which acts as a judicial body to hear appeals against provincial tax assessments and deals with cancellations and adjustments of monies owing to the Crown.

Debenture sales by our local governments decreased during the year under review in comparison to the previous year, amounting to $9,434,687.00, but still greater than sales in 1970. The comparative figures as shown elsewhere in this report for 1971 and 1970 were, respectively, $13,131,159.00 and $8,123,017.00. The difference between 1972 and 1971 appears to be accounted for mainly in a reduction of debenture borrowing by city municipalities from $9,316,000.00 in 1971 to $6,280,000.00 in 1972. It should be pointed out, however, that no debenture borrowing had been finalized during 1972 with respect to approvals under the 1971-72 winter works loans program. Such loans will presumably be reflected in the year 1973.

Details of year to year figures on debenture borrowings by Saskatchewan local governments are shown in Tables I, II, III, and IV of this report. Table V shows the level of local government debenture debt for a number of years up to the end of 1971. It is noteworthy that local government debt in Saskatchewan reached its peak in 1968 at $242,823,000.00 and has decreased to $220,193,000.00 in 1971. Figures for 1972 are not yet available but the Board believes that they will show a continuation of the trend to lower debt. This means that our local governments are borrowing less than they are retiring in principal each year. The Board finds that there are a number of instances where debenture debts have reached a high level and further borrowing is being permitted only with extreme caution under emergency conditions.

An analysis of the sales of debenture issues by local governments during the year 1972 showed the following:

Method of Sale	No. of Issues	Total Amount of Debenture	Average Money Cost
Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation	8	$1,645,126.	8.395
Through Investment Dealers	4	1,644,000.	8.346
Locally by Local Government Officials	66	6,145,561.	8.016
	78	$9,434,687.	

It will be noted that a very limited number of issues were sold through dealers and that local government officials again did an excellent job of selling debentures within their own communities. In many cases, the capital works or facilities would not have been possible except through the efforts of such people. The Municipal Financing Corporation purchases $2,792,500.00 of the total amount sold.

The cost of long term funds continues to be high. During the year under review such money cost varied from 7 1/2% to 8 1/2% depending on the term and whether the issue was sold locally or

through the regular marketing channels. The highest cost on issues sold through investment dealers was 8.47%. The rate charged by the Municipal Financing Corporation was 8 3/8% for Saskatoon and Regina and 8 1/2% for all other local governments. However, market conditions indicated an improvement during the latter part of the year and the Board has been notified that the rates for the first quarter of 1973 will be 8 1/8% and 8 1/4% respectively.

It will be noted that the average money cost for local sales (includes sales to the Municipal Financing Corporation) was slightly over 8%. This is a most satisfactory cost under present conditions, and was accomplished through purchases by people in the various communities. It is an improvement over the year 1971 when the average cost for local sales was 8.183%. On the other hand, the average money cost for issues sold through dealers is higher than the rate for 1971 when the average cost was 8.226%. The average rate for eight issues taken by Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation in 1972 was 8.395%, up from 7.743% (on thirteen issues) in 1971. The explanation of this is that the interest rate is fixed by the C.M.H.C. officials at the time that the application is originally approved. Thus the average rate in 1972 reflects the high interest rates which were in effect during the years 1969 to 1971 inclusive.

During the year under review the Board issued authorizations for proposed debentures of $11,295,053.00 as compared to $12,229,850.00 in 1971. Approval was also granted for local improvement works estimated to cost $6,217,890.00 as compared to $4,219,290.00 in 1971. It should be noted that not all these authorizations and/or approvals necessarily result in debenture issues. Bylaws may be defeated at the polls. Works may be petitioned out by abutting property owners, or the relevant local governments may not proceed further for one of any number of reasons. In addition, the cost of the work is reduced by cash received from property owners as prepayment of their frontage levies and/or monies obtained from other sources. In a very limited number of cases the net cost of the work is provided from general funds without the issue of debentures.

The Board continues to advocate that prudent business practices be followed by local government officials in their financial operations. It encourages the development of five-year capital works programs, setting up of satisfactory reserves for various purposes, creation of revolving capital trust funds, and the financing of some part of capital costs through the current year's operations. In the latter respect, the Board continues to require

a down payment, in the case of any borrowing, equivalent to a minimum of 10% of the proposed loan but more particularly related to the amount of the annual payment on the proposed debt. This provision must be contained in the current year's budget.

There was a major reduction in the amount borrowed by local governments during 1972 through security other than debentures, mainly promissory notes in comparison to the previous year. This type of financing for the year under review was $361,985.00 with the corresponding figures for the years 1971 and 1970 of $796,237.00 and $446,616.00 respectively. Interest rates in the short term money market continued at a reasonable level throughout the year, particularly when compared to the cost of long term funds.

Early in the year the Board was faced with a great many applications for borrowing to meet the requirements of the special development loan program initiated by the Federal Government during the latter part of 1971 under which the Government of Saskatchewan provided a matching grant. The purpose of the program was to stimulate employment, and many of our local governments took the opportunity to obtain a variety of facilities. The deadline for applications was January 31, 1972, and some obvious problems were encountered in dealing with, and processing, such applications within the time limit as many of them were not filed until the latter part of January. Of major concern to the Board is the fact that in no case was the financing in connection with any of these projects finalized prior to the end of the year 1972, which means that there will be no repayments on the long term borrowing during 1973 as intended at the time of the issue of the authorizations. In other words the projects were completed during 1972 but the first payment on the debt involved will not be until 1974.

During 1972 the Board held six inquiries as referred to in Appendix "K". Decisions were rendered in each case as detailed in pages 8 to 41 inclusive.

A brief summary of the Board activities over the course of 1972 follows. Further details may be obtained by referring to the appendices to this report. The miscellaneous applications mentioned relate to a wide variety of matters dealt with by the Board on which it is not considered necessary to provide details.

	1972	1971
Formal Board meetings held;	250	247

	1972	1971
Applications for debenture issues totalling approximately $11,797,967.00 under various acts were dealt with;	278	207
Applications for approval to construct local improvement works under The Local Improvements Act were dealt with;	161	111
Miscellaneous applications dealt with;	469	497
Budgets examined and tax rates established as required under various acts;	19	18
Transactions in Sinking Funds under Board control approved;	81	50
Transactions in R.M. Capital Trust Funds dealt with by the Board as authorized in Section 265 of The Rural Municipality Act, 1972;	113	96
Transactions in other Capital Accounts approved;	65	44
Agreements under The Housing and Special-care Homes Act 1965 approved.	71	31

It will be noted that the volume of the Board's work during 1972 continued generally at a higher level than the previous year. It should also be pointed out that in addition to the items summarized above, the Board spent a great deal of its time in conference with officials of local government and others, receiving representations connected with applications before the Board, and dealing with a wide variety of matters within the jurisdiction of the Board. Several members and the Board's secretary attended sessions of various conferences in the province, including the Saskatchewan Association of Rural Municipalities, Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association, Town Administrators, R. M. Secretary-Treasurers, School Trustees Association, and a regional meeting of the Municipal Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada. The Board also maintains close contact with investment dealers, banks, institutional investors, etc.

The Board convened a meeting of its Advisory Committee during March of 1972 with all members of the committee present. Copy of the minutes of such meeting are set out in pages 42 to 49

inclusive. This committee consists of representatives of the Saskatchewan Association of Rural Municipalities, Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association, Saskatchewan School Trustees Association and the Saskatchewan Hospital Association and the Board endeavours to hold one meeting each year.

The Board takes this opportunity to thank local government officials throughout the province for the co-operation received during the year. It is pleasing to note that Board decisions have been accepted with good grace and that there is generally a desire on the part of local government people to accept advice and suggestions which may be of assistance in administering their financial affairs on a sound basis.

The Board also appreciates the co-operation and assistance it received from officials of various government departments, representatives of investment dealers, banking institutions, consulting engineers, architects and all others with whom it came into official contact during the year.

Revenues received by the Board in 1972 were $32,844.54 with expenditures of $117,434.98.

The foregoing (including the tables, reports and appendices attached hereto) is respectfully submitted as the report of the Local Government Board for the year ending December 31, 1972.

DATED at REGINA, Saskatchewan, this 31st day of January 1973.

"H. S. McMillan"
Chairman

"M. A. Swenarchuk"
Member

"D. K. McCusker"
Member

"L. H. Lewry"
Member

"A. C. McEachen"
Secretary

IN THE MATTER OF SECTION 4 (1) (e) OF THE LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS ACT AND OF THE APPLICATION FROM THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SASKATOON FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD TO CONSTRUCT CERTAIN STORM SEWERS AS A DISTRICT LOCAL IMPROVEMENT WITHOUT THE RIGHT OF PETITION BY THE PROPERTY OWNERS CONCERNED

Under authorization from the Local Government Board, I conducted a hearing at the hour of 10:00 o'clock in the forenoon (Central Standard Time), of Tuesday, May 30, 1972, in Committee Room No. 1, City Hall, City of Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, for the purpose of hearing any parties interested in the granting or refusing of an application by the Council of the City of Saskatoon to the said Board for approval to undertake the construction of storm sewers on the undermentioned locations, in the City of Saskatoon, as a district local improvement, without the right of petition by the property owners concerned in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (e) of subsection (1) of section 4 of The Local Improvements Act.

St or Ave	From	To
47th St	Millar Ave	Wentz Ave
47th St	Wentz Ave	1/4 Line 500' W
46th St	Faithfull Ave	McKee Ave
45th St	1st Ave	A point 1000' E of 1st Ave
43rd St	1st Ave	2nd Lane E
Wentz Ave	47th St	46th St
1st Ave	46th St	42nd A St
McKee Ave	46th St	45th St
Millar Ave	47th St	Circle Dr
Circle Dr	Millar Ave	To a point 500' W of Millar Ave
Parcel M Blk 465 Pl 61-S-20645	Wentz Ave	1/4 Line 500' W
Parcel B Blk 378 Pl 59-S-01097	Millar Ave	To a point 200' W of Millar Ave

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

46th St	Lane W of Faithfull Ave	Faithfull Ave

46th St	McKee Ave	Millar Ave
45A St	Faithfull Ave	1st Ave
45th St	Faithfull Ave	1st Ave
45th St	A point 534' E of McKee Ave	Millar Ave
44th St	Lane E of Faithfull Ave	1st Ave
43rd St	75' W of Faithfull Ave	1st Ave
43rd St	1st Lane W of Millar Ave	Millar Ave
42nd A St	Faithfull Ave	1st Ave
Circle Dr	A point 59' W of Lot 1, 64-S-02075	A point 20' E of Lot 3, 64-S-02075
Circle Dr	Millar Ave	CNR R/W
Faithfull Ave	44th St	46th St
1st Ave	Lane S of 42nd St	42nd A St
Wentz Ave	RR Tracks N of 47th St	47th St

Appearing on behalf of the City were:

Mr. J. B. J. Nutting, B.A., LL.B., City Solicitor
Mr. R. J. Kucey, B.A., LL.B., Assistant City Solicitor
Mr. J. Avant, Director of Finance
Mr. J. A. Beveridge, Director of Works and Utilities
Mr. A. F. G. Carroll, P.Eng., City Engineer

No one appeared from the public to either object or support the city's application.

The Board had directed that a copy of its notice of the hearing be published in two issues of the local newspaper during the weeks commencing with May 15th and May 22nd, 1972, and that copies of the said notice be posted in six widely separated places in the city. Mr. Nutting, City Solicitor, filed a declaration which satisfied the Board's requirements as to publication and posting of the said notices. No written submissions were filed with me at the hearing, and according to information submitted, none were in fact received.

The estimated cost of this work is $442,610.00, of which $172,022.72 is to be paid by the city at large. If construction goes forward the property owners in the district will be charged $6.00 per assessable foot of frontage. If this is not paid in cash within the appropriate period of time legally permitted, a special

levy will be imposed equivalent to 60¢ per foot frontage for a period of 25 years. I was informed that the council of the city had increased the frontage rate from $5.00 a foot to $6.00 a foot if paid in cash and from 49¢ a foot to 60¢ a foot if paid over a period of 25 years.

The city officials indicate that the improvement is urgently required and that work should be proceeded with as soon as possible. It is also noted, of course, that this district local improvement is part of the total local improvement program which has already been submitted to the Local Government Board and which is receiving consideration at the present time.

As there is obviously no major objection on the part of the property owners in the district to the work proposed in this application, I recommend to the Board that approval be granted and that the council be allowed to proceed with the said work without the right of petition pursuant to the provisions of paragraph (e) of subsection (1) of section 4 of The Local Improvements Act conditional upon the sum of $17,000.00 being provided by the city out of its current budget to be applied against the city share of the cost.

DATED at REGINA, Saskatchewan, the 7th day of June 1972.

"H. S. McMillan"
Chairman,
Local Government Board.

The Board concurred in the recommendation of the Chairman as above and adopted his report as the approval of the Board. The application therefore is granted.

DATED at REGINA, Saskatchewan, the 13th day of June 1972.

The Local Government Board

Per "H. S. McMillan"
Chairman

IN THE MATTER OF SECTION 4 (1) (e) OF THE LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS ACT AND BYLAW NO. 2477 OF THE CITY OF MOOSE JAW FOR APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD TO UNDERTAKE THE CONSTRUCTION OF STORM SEWERS WITHOUT THE RIGHT OF PETITION BY THE PROPERTY OWNERS CONCERNED

Under authorization from the Local Government Board dated May 31, 1972, I conducted a hearing at 11:00 o'clock in the forenoon (Central Standard Time) of Friday, June 2, 1972, in the Council Chambers, City Hall, City of Moose Jaw, Saskatchewan, for the purpose of hearing any parties interested in the granting or refusing of an application by the Council of the City of Moose Jaw to the said Board for consent to undertake the construction of storm sewers on the undermentioned locations in the City of Moose Jaw without the right of petition by the property owners concerned in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (e) of subsection (1) of section 4 of The Local Improvements Act, there being two drainage areas involved as follows:

St or Ave	From	To
Main St N	Athabasca St W	Oxford St W
Oxford St W	Main St N	4th Ave NW

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

St or Ave	From	To
Ross St W	Main St	Lane E of 1st Ave NW
Clifton Ave	Oxford St W	Hall St W
1st Ave NW	Oxford St W	Hall St W
Redland Ave	Oxford St W	Hall St W
2nd Ave NW	Oxford St W	Hall St W
Grafton Ave	Oxford St W	Hall St W
3rd Ave NW	Oxford St W	Hall St W
Henleaze Ave	Oxford St W	Hall St W
4th Ave NW	Oxford St W	Sask.St W

Mr. G. G. Botting, City Commissioner, informed the hearing that notices of the hearing had been duly posted and published as required by the Board. He also stated that 53 letters, all of the same wording,

but individually signed, had been received opposing the levying of charges against property located in two blocks of 4th Avenue N.W., one of the benefitting streets, and two letters from property owners in the 1000 block of Redland Avenue, another of the benefitting streets.

Appearing on behalf of the City of Moose Jaw were:

Mayor J. Ernest Pascoe
Mr. G. G. Botting, City Commissioner
Mr. W. J. Rintoul, City Assessor
Mr. C. A. Bowden, City Engineer
Mr. J. S. Tulloch, Assistant City Engineer

Two persons were in attendance and indicated they wished to speak in opposition to the project:

Mrs. Helen M. Holdsworth, 1076 - 4th Ave. N.W.,
Moose Jaw, Saskatchewan.
(Lot 2, Block 19, Parkside Subdivision)

Mr. A. J. Patterson, 710 Main St. N.,
Moose Jaw, Saskatchewan.
(Lots 8, 10, S.29' Lot 11, Lot 12, Block 28,
Old 96 Subdivision, and Lot 9, except N.14',
Block 9, Old 96 Subdivision, and Lot 10,
Block 9, Old 96 Subdivision)

City Engineer Bowden explained the storm sewer projects, outlining the drainage areas and stated that this project will complete the system for the entire northwest area of the city.

Mr. Patterson questioned the engineer and the city administration on, as he put it, why is there such a small contribution by the Provincial Government of only $4,000.00 to this project whereas the overall cost is approximately $88,000.00.

Mr. Botting stated that the Provincial Government sharing is less because of the width of the street. Mr. Bowden said that the government policy is to share 50% of the costs of the storm sewer, but only on the Main Street portion, and only on a street width of 300 feet. He said that the 300 foot width is only a small portion of the entire area, about one-tenth, and the government share is 50% of $8,000.00 which amounts to only $4,000.00.

Mr. Patterson then asked how the city arrived at the estimated cost of $88,000.00. He expressed the opinion that the city should tender the work instead of the city itself doing the work. He believed the work could be done at less than the $88,000.00 estimated. He stated that Patterson Motors Limited and Patterson Properties, which he represents, owns a major portion of the property affected in the Main Street project. He said that two years ago his companies were charged for the same kind of a system on Athabasca Street West and they are now being charged for another program.

City Commissioner Botting stated that the city had embarked on a storm sewer program some 12 years ago but were held up for a number of years. He said the entire modernization system has been done under the same procedure, using The Local Improvements Act, Section 4 (1) (e).

Mr. Bowden added that the drainage system east of Main Street appears to be satisfactory and he did not expect any additional projects would be needed with the exception of perhaps some small areas on south hill.

Mrs. Holdsworth wanted to know why the residents on Fourth Avenue North West were the only ones in the 1100 block in that area from Main Street to Fourth Avenue, that were being called upon to assist in paying the costs of the project.

Mr. Bowden replied that the existing storm sewer on Hall Street West is sufficient to handle storm water from all of the other residences in the 1100 block (above Hall Street) in the area referred to and that the Fourth Avenue drainage area will feed into the new storm sewer to be laid along Oxford Street from Main to Fourth Avenue.

Mr. Bowden added that the city is still experiencing some flooding at the intersection of 1st Avenue N.W. and Caribou Street because the storm sewer laid there two years ago is being overloaded from Oxford Street. This new proposal will alleviate that problem, he said.

Mr. Botting filed with the Board some 53 letters from residents of the 1000 and 1100 blocks Fourth Avenue N.W. and two from residents of the 1000 block Redland Avenue, which are two of the benefitting streets. The city subsequently filed with the Board a copy of a list of all abutting and residential owners in the drainage areas. A check of this list shows there are 60 benefitting owners in total in the 1000 and 1100 blocks of Fourth Avenue N.W.

In the total project there are 89 abutting owners and 182 benefitting owners for a total of 271 residents affected by the project.

The total cost of the storm sewer is estimated at $88,410.00, of which the city at large will pay $36,450.00 and benefitting and abutting owners will pay $47,960.00 and the Provincial Department of Highways grant will amount to $4,000.00. A property owner may pay out his share of the cost at $3.10 per frontage foot of property or have it amortized over a 20-year period at 34 cents per frontage foot.

Taking into account the apparent acceptance of the proposals of the city by the residents of the areas concerned, with the acknowledged written opposition of 53 of the 60 property owners in the one area of Fourth Avenue N.W., which must be balanced against the overall total of some 271 property owners in the areas affected, I recommend approval of the application by the city to proceed with this work, the construction of storm sewers in the areas proposed under the provisions of paragraph (e) of subsection (1) of section 4 of The Local Improvements Act.

In such recommendation, I would mention that this application, as provided for by Bylaw No. 2477, is part of the total 1972 local improvement program of the City of Moose Jaw, borrowing by debentures on account of which is to be limited to the maximum amount of $250,000.00.

DATED at REGINA, Saskatchewan, this 12th day of June, 1972.

"Louis H. Lewry"

Louis H. Lewry,
Member,
Local Government Board.

The Board concurred in the recommendation of the Member as above and adopted his report as the approval of the Board. The application therefore is granted.

DATED at REGINA, Saskatchewan, the 13th day of June, 1972.

The Local Government Board

Per "H. S. McMillan"
Chairman

IN THE MATTER OF SECTION 4 (1) (e) OF THE LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS ACT AND OF THE APPLICATIONS FROM THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF PRINCE ALBERT FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD TO CONSTRUCT CERTAIN STORM SEWERS AS DISTRICT LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS WITHOUT THE RIGHT OF PETITION BY THE PROPERTY OWNERS CONCERNED

Under authorization from the Local Government Board, I conducted a hearing at the hour of 10:00 o'clock in the forenoon (Central Standard Time), of Wednesday, June 28, 1972, in the Council Chambers, Civic Administration Offices, 1521 - 6th Avenue, West, City of Prince Albert, Saskatchewan, for the purpose of hearing any parties interested in the granting or refusing of applications by the Council of the City of Prince Albert to the said Board for approvals to undertake the construction of storm sewers on the undermentioned locations in the City of Prince Albert as district local improvements, there being eleven (11) such districts as listed, without the right of petition by the property owners concerned in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (e) of subsection (1) of Section 4 of The Local Improvements Act.

NUMBER 1 - Bylaw No. 12 of 1972
18th Avenue West Storm Sewer District

St or Ave	From	To	Side
18 Ave W	River Bank	S.P.L. of 15 St W	

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

13 St W	Centerline of 17 Ave W	E.P.L. of 20 Ave W	N&S
14 St W	322.4' W of W.P.L. of 17 Ave W	Centerline of 19 Ave W	N&S
15 St W	Centerline of 17 Ave W	Centerline of 19 Ave W	N&S
18 Ave W	S.P.L. of Lane N of 13 St W	Centerline of 16 St W	E&W
19 Ave W	S.P.L. of Lane N of 13 St W	S.P.L. of Lane S of 13 St W	E&W

St or Ave	From	To	Side
19 Ave W	S.P.L. of Lane N of 14 St W	S.P.L. of Lane S of 15 St W	E

That portion of land bounded on the west side by the E.P.L. of 18 Avenue West and bounded on the east side by a line parallel to WPL of 17 Avenue West and 322.40' west of W.P.L. of 17 Avenue West and on the north side by S.P.L. of Lane south of 15 Street West and bounded on the south side by the projection of 16 Street West centerline.

NUMBER 2 - Bylaw No. 13 of 1972
20th Avenue West Storm Sewer District

20 Ave W	River Bank	25' N of S.P.L. of 15 St W
Lane between 14 & 15 St W	10' E of W.P.L. of 20 Ave W	479' E of E.P.L. of 20 Ave W

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

14 St W	Centerline of 19 Ave W	E.P.L. of 20 Ave W	N&S
15 St W	Centerline of 19 Ave W	E.P.L. of 20 Ave W	N&S
19 Ave W	S.P.L. of Lane N of 14 St W	S.P.L. of Lane S of 15 St W	W
20 Ave W	S.P.L. of Lane N of 13 St W	S.P.L. of Lane S of 15 St W	E&W

That portion of Road on Plan BQ2506 commonly known as Pen Road from W.P.L. of 20th Avenue West to 1218.82' West of W.P.L. of 12th Avenue West.

NUMBER 3 - Bylaw No. 14 of 1972
6th Avenue East Storm Sewer District

6 Ave E	5' N of S.P.L. of Lane between 25 St E & 26 St E	33' S of N.P.L. of 31 St E

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

6 Ave E	S.P.L. of Lane N of 26 St E	N.P.L. of 31 St E	E&W

St or Ave	From	To	Side
28 St E	W.P.L. of McDonald Ave	E.P.L. of 6th Ave E	S
27 St E	219' W of W.P.L. of 6 Ave E	W.P.L. of 6 Ave E	N&S
30 St E	400.8' W of W.P.L. of 6 Ave E	W.P.L. of 6 Ave E	N&S

NUMBER 4 - Bylaw No. 17 of 1972
32nd Street West Storm Sewer District

32 St W	280' E of E.P.L. of 4th Ave W	20' W of E.P.L. of 3rd Ave W	

The following location does not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefits and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

32 St W	E.P.L. of 4th Ave W	W.P.L. of 2nd Ave W	N&S

NUMBER 5 - Bylaw No. 23 of 1972
12th Avenue East Storm Sewer District

12 Ave E	River Bank	5' S of N.P.L. of lane between River St E and 1st St E	
12 Ave E	5' S of N.P.L. of lane between River St E and 1st St E	5' S of N.P.L. of lane between 1st St E and 2nd St E	
Lane between River St E and 1st St E	25' W of E.P.L. of 12 Ave E	188' E of E.P.L. of 12 Ave E	
Lane between 1st St E and 2nd St E	122' W of W.P.L. of 12 Ave E	135' E of E.P.L. of 12 Ave E	

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

River St E	198' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	49.5' W of W.P.L. of 13 Ave E	S
1st St E	198' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	49.5' W of W.P.L. of 13 Ave E	N

St or Ave	From	To	Side
1st St E	198' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	148.5' W of W.P.L. of 13 Ave E	S
2nd St E	E.P.L. of 12 Ave E	148.5' W of W.P.L. of 13 Ave E	N
12 Ave E	River St E	1st St E	E&W

NUMBER 6 - Bylaw No. 24 of 1972
11th Avenue East Storm Sewer District

11 Ave E	River Bank	25' N of S.P.L. of 1st St E	
11 Ave E	25' N of S.P.L. of 1st St E	8' N of S.P.L. of Lane between 2nd and 3rd St E	
11 Ave E	8' N of S.P.L. of Lane between 2nd and 3rd St E	3' S of N.P.L. of 6 St E	
11 Ave E	3' S of N.P.L. of 6 St E	30' S of N.P.L. of 7 St E	
7 St E	18' E of W.P.L. of 11 Ave E	38' W of E.P.L. of Sylvan Rd	
Sylvan Rd	30' S of N.P.L. of 7 St E	130' N of N.P.L. of 7 St E	
Lane between 2 St E and 3 St E	25' E of W.P.L. of 11 Ave E	401' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

River St E	445.5' E of E.P.L. of 9th Ave E	Centerline of 12th Ave E	N
River St E	196' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	W.P.L. of 12 Ave E	S
1st St E	196' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	W.P.L. of 12 Ave E	N&S
2nd St E	196' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	W.P.L. of 12 Ave E	N

St or Ave	From	To	Side
12 Ave E	S.P.L. of River St E	N.P.L. of 2nd St E	W
River St E	445.5' E of E.P.L. of 9 Ave E	196' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	S
1st St E	Centerline of 10 Ave E	196' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	N&S
2nd St E	Centerline of 10 Ave E	196' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	N
2nd St E	Centerline of 10 Ave E	Centerline of 12 Ave E	S
3rd St E	Centerline of 10 Ave E	Centerline of 12 Ave E	N&S
4th St E	Centerline of 10 Ave E	Centerline of 11 Ave E	N&S
4th St E	Centerline of 11 Ave E	Centerline of 12 Ave E	N
6th St E	Centerline of Sylvan Rd	Centerline of 12 Ave E	N&S
7th St E	W.P.L. of Sylvan Rd	Centerline of 12 Ave E	N&S
8th St E	136' E of E.P.L. of 10 Ave E	E.P.L. of 11 Ave E	N&S
11th Ave E	S.P.L. of River St E	N.P.L. of 8 St E	E&W
12th Ave E	S.P.L. of 6 St E	N.P.L. of 7 St E	W
12th Ave E	S.P.L. of 2nd St E	N.P.L. of 4 St E	W
10th Ave E	S.P.L. of 1st St E	Centerline of Lane between 4 St & 6 St	E
10th Ave E	S.P.L. of River St E	Centerline of Lane between River St and 1st St E	E
Sylvan Rd	S.P.L. of 6 St E	N.P.L. of 7 St E	E

St or Ave	From	To	Side
NUMBER 7 - Bylaw No. 25 of 1972 12th Avenue West Storm Sewer District			
12 Ave W	River Bank	20' N of S.P.L. of River St W	
12 Ave W	20' N of S.P.L. of River St W	20' N of S.P.L. of 17 St W	
12 Ave W	20' N of S.P.L. of 17 St W	20' N of S.P.L. of 18 St W	
12 Ave W	20' N of S.P.L. of 18 St W	20' N of S.P.L. of 19 St W	
River St W	20' E of W.P.L. of 12 Ave W	100' W of W.P.L. of 12 Ave W	
13 Ave W	7' S of N.P.L. of Lane between 12/13 St W	7' S of N.P.L. of Lane between 13/14 St W	
11 Ave W	7' S of N.P.L. of Lane between 12/13 St W	7' S of N.P.L. of Lane between 13/14 St W	
Lane between 12 St W and 13 St W	20' E of W.P.L. of 13 Ave W	10' E of W.P.L. of 11 Ave W	
Lane between 13 St W and 14 St W	50' W of W.P.L. of 13 Ave W	66' E of E.P.L. of 13 Ave W	
Lane between 13 St W and 14 St W	10' E of W.P.L. of 11 Ave W	50' E of E.P.L. of 11 Ave W	
Lane between 15 St W and 16 St W	20' E of W.P.L. of 12 Ave W	15' E of W.P.L. of 10 Ave W	
Lane between 18 St W and 19 St W	20' E of W.P.L. of 12 Ave W	33' E of W.P.L. of 11 Ave W	

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

River St W	250' E of E.P.L. of 15 Ave W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	N

St or Ave	From	To	Side
13 St W	175' E of E.P.L. of 14 Ave W	Centerline of 13 Ave W	S
14 St W	175' E of E.P.L. of 14 Ave W	Centerline of 13 Ave W	N
River St W	250' E of E.P.L. of 15 Ave E	Centerline of 10 Ave W	S
12 St W	Centerline of 13 Ave W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	N&S
13 St W	Centerline of 13 Ave W	Centerline of 11 Ave W	N&S
14 St W	Centerline of 13 Ave W	E.P.L. of 11 Ave W	N&S

That portion of Parcel E in R.L. 68 and 69, bounded on the South side by projected S.P.L. of 14 St W., bounded on the North side by S.P.L. of Lane between 13 Street West and 14 Street West, bounded on the West side by E.P.L. of 11 Ave West and bounded on the East side by a projected centerline of 11 Avenue West.

15 St W	Centerline of 13 Ave W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	N&S
16 St W	Centerline of 14 Ave W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	N&S

That portion of C.N.R. Right-of-Way lying between E.P.L. of 13 Ave W and Centerline of 10 Ave West.

That portion of Parcel 11 in R.L. 66 lying south of C.N.R. Right-of-Way and bounded on the West side by a line parallel to 15 Ave West at a distance of 208' East of E.P.L. of 15 Ave West and bounded on the South side by N.P.L. of Lane between 19 Street West and 20 Street West.

17 St W	W.P.L. of 14 Ave W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	N&S
18 St W	W.P.L. of 14 Ave W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	N&S
19 St W	W.P.L. of 14 Ave W	W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	N&S
10 Ave W	S.P.L. of 15 St W	120' S of S.P.L. of 18 St W	W
10 Ave W	120' S of S.P.L. of 19 St W	120' N of N.P.L. of 19 St W	E&W
10 Ave W	12 St W	River St W	W

St or Ave	From	To	Side
11 Ave W	River St W	Lane S of 12 St W	E&W
11 Ave W	Lane N of 14 St W	Lane S of 14 St W	E&W
11 Ave W	15 St W	19 St W	E&W
11 Ave W	19 St W	Lane S of 19 St W	E&W
13 Ave W	Lane N of 16 St W	19 St W	E&W
13 Ave W	Lane S of River St W	Lane S of 12 St W	E
13 Ave W	Lane N of 14 St W	Lane S of 15 St W	E
13 Ave W	River St W	Lane S of River St W	E&W
14 Ave W	S.P.L. of 16 St W	19 St W	E&W
14 Ave W	S.P.L. of 16 St W	Lane N of 16 St W	E
14 Ave W	River St W	Lane S of River St W	E&W

NUMBER 8 - Bylaw No. 26 of 1972
9th Avenue West Storm Sewer District

9 Ave W	River Bank	25' N of S.P.L. of 12 St W	
9 Ave W	25' N of S.P.L. of 12 St W	25' N of S.P.L. of 18 St W	
9 Ave W	25' N of S.P.L. of 18 St W	6' N of S.P.L. of Lane between 18 St & 19 St W	
9 Ave W	6' N of S.P.L. of Lane between 18 St & 19 St W	25' N of S.P.L. of 19 St W	
9 Ave W	25' N of S.P.L. of 19 St W	230' S of S.P.L. of 19 St W	
Lane between 12 St W & River St W	25' E of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	375' W of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	
Lane between 12 St W & River St W	25' E of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	64' E of E.P.L. of 9 Ave W	

St or Ave	From	To	Side
Lane between 12 St W & 13 St W	25' E of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	565' W of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	
13 St W	25' E of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	100' E of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	
Lane between 13 St W & 14 St W	25' E of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	104' E of E.P.L. of 9 Ave W	
Lane between 15 St W & 16 St W	25' E of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	130' E of E.P.L. of 9 Ave W	
Lane between 17 St W & 18 St W	25' E of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	294' W of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	
Lane between 18 St W & 19 St W	25' E of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	250' E of E.P.L. of 9 Ave W	
Lane between 18 St W & 19 St W	25' E of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	50' W of W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

River St W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	207' W of W.P.L. of 8 Ave W	N
River St W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	207' W of W.P.L. of 8 Ave W	S
12 St W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	E.P.L. of 9 Ave W	N&S
13 St W	Centerline of 11 Ave W	E.P.L. of 9 Ave W	N&S
14 St W	Projected centerline of 10 Ave W	338' W of W.P.L. of 8 Ave W	N&S
15 St W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	E.P.L. of 9 Ave W	N&S
16 St W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	188' W of W.P.L. of W Leg of 8 Ave W	N&S

St or Ave	From	To	Side
17 St W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	267' W of W.P.L. of 8 Ave W	N&S
18 St W	Centerline of 10 Ave W	444' W of W.P.L. of 8 Ave W	N&S
19 St W	W.P.L. of 9 Ave W	444' W of W.P.L. of 8 Ave W	N&S

That portion of C.N.R. Right-of-Way bounded on the North side by N.P.L. of the lane between 17 Street West and 18 Street West, bounded on the West side by the centerline of 10th Avenue West, bounded on the East side by a line parallel to the W.P.L. of 8th Avenue West which is 267' West of W.P.L. of 8th Avenue West.

10 Ave W	S.P.L. of River St W	N.P.L. of 12 St W	E

NUMBER 9 - Bylaw No. 40 of 1972
5th Avenue East Storm Sewer District

5 Ave E	20.8' N of S.P.L. of 28 St E	20.45' N of S.P.L. of 29 St E
5th Ave E	20.45' N of S.P.L. of 29 St E	19.25' N of S.P.L. of 30 St E

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

31 St E	E.P.L. of 5 Ave E	W.P.L. of 6 Ave E	N
30 St E	386' E of E.P.L. of 5 Ave E	247' W of W.P.L. of 5 Ave E	N&S
29 St E	E.P.L. of 5 Ave E	315' E of E.P.L. of 5 Ave E	N&S
5 Ave E	S.P.L. of 28 St E	Centerline of 31 St E	E&W

NUMBER 10 - Bylaw No. 41 of 1972
4th Avenue East Storm Sewer District

4 Ave E	42' N of S.P.L. of 29 St E	20' N of S.P.L. of 30 St E

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

St or Ave	From	To	Side
30 St E	E.P.L. of 4 Ave E	441' E of E.P.L. of 4 Ave E	N&S
4 Ave E	S.P.L. of 29 St E	S.P.L. of 30 St E	E&W

NUMBER 11 - Bylaw No. 57 of 1971
1st Avenue East at 26 Street Storm Sewer District

1 Ave E	25' N of S.P.L. of 25 St E	20' N of S.P.L. of 26 St E	
1 Ave E	26 St E	Lane between 26 St E & 27 St E	

The following location does not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefits and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

26 St E	Central Ave	1st Ave E	N&S

Appearing on behalf of the City were:

Mr. D. H. Cochrane, Acting City Commissioner
Mr. John Swystun, City Engineer
Mr. Don Wright, Engineering Consultant of Catterall and Wright
Mr. Pat Neiman, Assistant City Engineer
Mr. Tom Graham, City Assessor

Appearing from the public was:

Mr. Larry Smith, 560 - 11th Avenue, Prince Albert

There was also presented a communication directed to the City Clerk under date of June 23, 1972, signed by five property owners including Mr. Larry Smith objecting to the granting of the application by the Board for Number 6, being Bylaw No. 24 of 1972 - 11th Avenue East Storm Sewer District.

The Board had directed that a copy of its notice of the hearing be published in two issues of the local newspaper during the weeks commencing with June 19 and June 26, 1972, and that copies of the said notice be posted in six widely separated places in the city.

Mr. Swystun, City Engineer, filed a declaration of the City Clerk, Mr. J. J. Murphy, which satisfied the requirements with respect to the posting of notices and it was agreed that the city would arrange for filing of a further declaration prior to the presentation of this report to the Local Government Board proving the publication of the notice. Such further proof has now been received and included in the Board's records. It appears to me that the Board's requirements as to posting and publication of the notice of the hearing have been satisfied.

The only objection to the construction of any of the districts set out in the application to the Board is contained in the communication to the City Clerk dated June 23, 1972 and Mr. Smith, as one of the signers was present to support the objections verbally. It was agreed that this storm sewer district be dealt with first, it being Number 6 - Bylaw No. 24 of 1972. Mr. Swystun, the City Engineer, outlined the district. It appears to me that the objections fall into six areas as set out below:

(a) For large properties the flankage in excess of 110 feet is charged and this would cost some of the property owners a considerable sum of money;

(b) That the work is of no value to the property owners for various reasons;

(c) There is a technical question as to where the storm water should be drained;

(d) That boulevards will be destroyed;

(e) That assessment should be made to a large number of property owners with a reduction in the cost to each property in the district;

(f) That storm drainage is being provided to enable street improvements but there are no specific plans as to when the paving of streets will take place.

Each item was dealt with. Mr. Smith was permitted to give additional details enlarging on what was set out in the letter and replies were given by the city officials as necessary.

In the case of item (a), it was indicated that the exemption amounts to 122 feet rather than 110 feet as suggested. This arrangement is in accordance with the provisions of the assessment

bylaw. This represents the policy of the council and appears to be reasonable compared to the normal practises. While it is true that the owners of large lots will pay a substantial amount, this is the case in connection with any type of local improvement.

In the case of item (b), Mr. Smith agreed that they were not really challenging the fact that water from these properties was drained into the system. The city officials explained the situation quite well, in my view, and it seems reasonable that the storm water from these properties will be drained by this system.

Item (c) contained a suggestion of a different method of handling the drainage from this particular area. This was explained by the City Engineer and the fact was emphasized that a different method of handling the drainage would not change the assessment which would still be $5.00 per foot of frontage regardless of the drainage district involved.

One would certainly sympathize with the question raised in item (d) which relates to the destruction and maintenance of the boulevards. It is admitted that there may be some destruction of boulevards, in some cases, where underground pipes are installed. City officials indicated that every effort would be made to preserve the boulevards and put them back in proper shape. These property owners are to be commended for their interest in beautifying the city by maintaining the boulevards opposite their properties.

Item (e) suggests the possibility of charging other property owners with a view to reducing the cost to all lots being assessed. It was pointed out that the special assessments against properties for storm sewers are charged under authority of a bylaw of general application. Such bylaw fixes the rate which will be payable throughout the city regardless of the number of lots in any particular drainage district. The property owners pay their share as provided by the said rate and the remainder is charged to the city at large.

In the matter of item (f), the city officials outlined the plans for street improvements in the area. They admitted that it was not possible to state definitely what the programs would be for each year because of the number of factors involved but they did give the overall plan. It was also indicated that owners could reduce the delay in getting the paving by arranging to put up the cash as prepaid frontage. If all owners in any street did this, there would be no problem in getting the paving done. Otherwise, the work hinges on the ability of the city to borrow and only a limited amount of work can be done each year.

There were no objections in regard to the other ten districts being proceeded with. Each one was discussed briefly. Set out below are the details as to the estimated cost of each of the districts, the city share and property share respectively:

District No.	Bylaw No.	Estimated Cost	Property Share	City's Share
1	12 of 1972	36,000.00	40,819.10	-4,819.10
2	13 of 1972	22,800.00	19,386.10	3,413.90
3	14 of 1972	32,945.75	17,891.75	15,054.00
4	17 of 1972	2,850.00	6,967.25	-4,117.25
5	23 of 1972	17,404.00	9,692.50	7,711.50
6	24 of 1972	75,406.30	88,430.20	-13,023.90
7	25 of 1972	116,496.00	107,486.70	9,009.30
8	26 of 1972	93,782.00	58,247.50	35,534.50
9	40 of 1972	4,725.00	11,033.25	-6,308.25
10	41 of 1972	2,818.00	4,410.25	-1,592.25
11	57 of 1971	5,497.50	5,540.00	-42.50
	Total	$410,724.55	$369,904.60	$40,819.95

The total estimated cost is therefore $410,724.55 with the property share of this amount being $369,904.60 and the city's share being a net of $40,819.95. As indicated previously, the charge being made to the property is $5.00 per foot in accordance with the assessment bylaw and this is the amount which will be collected if paid in cash.

It was noted that in the case of Districts numbered 5, 6, 7 and 8, some lots are being treated as 50% benefitting and the frontage rate is reduced by 50%. The Board is aware of the fact that the City of Prince Albert has been using degree of benefit in the case of storm drainage as 100% and 50%. This, of course, is a continuation of such policy and I was informed by the city officials that there are only a few districts remaining which will have to be dealt with in this way in order to retain the principle which has previously been established. This will be required in serving the existing built-up areas but if the present program is completed, there will only remain the 8th and 9th Avenue systems where the 50% principle will apply with respect to any of the lots.

In examining the various bylaws I noticed that Nos. 12 of 1972, 13 of 1972, 14 of 1972, 17 of 1972, 40 of 1972, and 41 of 1972 provide for a rate of interest in the annual frontage charge of 8% with the annual rate being 50.9¢ per assessable foot of frontage whereas the

other bylaws, being 23 of 1972, 24 of 1972, 25 of 1972, 26 of 1972, and 57 of 1971, provide for a rate of interest of 9% in the annual frontage charges with the annual rate of 54.8¢ (in the case of 50% benefitting 27.4¢) per foot of assessable frontage. It was explained that this had been caused by the fact that some of the schedules had been carried forward from 1971 in which case the council had determined a 9% rate whereas the new schedules developed during the current year provided for a rate of 8%. I indicated that I could not understand the use of two different rates in the one year for the same type of work and it was agreed that a request would be made by the administration to council to the effect that bylaws indicating a 9% rate be amended to show 8% and an annual rate of 50.9[illegible] (in the case of 50% benefitted 25.45¢) per foot of assessable frontage. Certified copies of these bylaws were received in the Board's office under date of July 12, 1972 and are part of the Board's records. They are Bylaws Nos. 75 of 1972, 76 of 1972, 77 of 1972, 78 of 1972, and 79 of 1972, which amend Bylaws Nos. 24 of 1972, 23 of 1972, 25 of 1972, 26 of 1972, and 57 of 1971 respectively, passed by the council on July 4, 1972.

It is noted, of course, that these district local improvements are part of the total local improvement program which has already been submitted to the Local Government Board and which has been dealt with subject to the results of the hearing covered by this report.

There is obviously no major objection on the part of the property owners in any of the districts to the work proposed in these applications. It is true that five property owners in No. 6 have objected but this would represent a small percentage of the property owners in that district. The work appears to be desirable and I recommend to the Board that the necessary approvals be granted and that the council be allowed to proceed with the said work without the right of petition pursuant to the provisions of paragraph (e) of subsection 1 of section 4 of The Local Improvements Act subject to the conditions provided by the Board in connection with the total program submitted by the council for the year 1972 and more particularly set out in the Minutes of the Board of June 12, 1972.

I may say that Mr. Smith made an excellent and sensible presentation on behalf of himself and those associated with him in the objections to District No. 6. I appreciate the manner in which he handled himself and his apparent understanding of all the matters considered. My thanks also to the city officials for their assistance and co-operation.

DATED at Regina, Saskatchewan, this 18th day of July, 1972.

"H. S. McMillan"

Chairman,
Local Government Board.

The Board concurred in the recommendation of the Chairman as above and adopted his report as the approval of the Board. The application therefore is granted.

DATED at Regina, Saskatchewan, the 20th day of July, 1972.

The Local Government Board

Per "H. S. McMillan"

Chairman

IN THE MATTER OF THE QU'APPELLE MEMORIAL UNION HOSPITAL DISTRICT AND SECTION 69 OF THE UNION HOSPITAL ACT PROVIDING FOR THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD TO MAKE PAYMENTS FROM THE PROCEEDS OF THE SALE OF THE ASSETS OF THE DISTRICT

The Qu'Appelle Memorial Union Hospital Board has forwarded to the Local Government Board the sum of $20,647.21 representing monies realized from the sale of the hospital building, grounds and equipment, and any other assets of the said hospital board pursuant to the provisions of Section 68 of The Union Hospital Act. Upon receipt of these funds, the Board arranged to have them invested so that further amounts will have accrued to the benefit of the municipalities concerned.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 69 of The Union Hospital Act the Local Government Board is required to make payments from the said monies in the following order:

(a) in satisfaction of any current indebtedness of the board or hospital district;

(b) in satisfaction of the debenture indebtedness outstanding of the hospital district;

(c) to each municipality or local improvement district that co-operated in the establishment of the hospital, a portion of any surplus funds remaining in the proportion to which each contributed to payment of the capital costs of the hospital.

Notices were published in The Leader-Post of Regina, Sasktachewan, in the issues of Saturday, August 19, 1972, and Saturday, August 26, 1972, requesting that all claims against the Qu'Appelle Memorial Union Hospital be filed with the Local Government Board, Room 38, Legislative Building, Regina, Saskatchewan, before the 15th day of September, 1972.

The only claim received and payment thereof authorized by the Board from the funds referred to is that of:

The Leader-Post - publication of Notice of Inquiry and Notice to Creditors - - - - - - - - $55.04

There is no reason to believe that there is any other current indebtedness for the said hospital board. As to debenture indebtedness, the Local Government Board has been informed and is satisfied that the said hospital board (or hospital district) has no outstanding debenture obligations.

After deducting the payment referred to above of $55.04, and taking into account the interest that has been earned during the period the funds have been with the Local Government Board amounting to $119.64, the balance available for distribution under paragraph (c) of Section 69 of The Union Hospital Act is $20,711.81.

In order to provide an opportunity for all parties interested in the distribution of the remaining surplus funds, the Local Government Board arranged for an inquiry to be held in the Board offices in Regina at the hour of ten o'clock in the forenoon (Central Standard Time) of Tuesday, September 12, 1972.

The Board arranged for the publishing of the notice of the inquiry in the issues of The Leader-Post of Regina, Saskatchewan, of Saturday, August 19, 1972 and Saturday, August 26, 1972. A copy of this notice was mailed to the offices of five of the municipalities comprising the said hospital district.

The Board also sent nine copies of the said notice to the secretary-treasurer of the hospital board and instructed the posting of a copy each in six widely separated conspicuous places in the hospital district.

Referring to the last directive, information is on file to the effect that it was not complied with. After careful consideration, however, the Board decided to waive this requirement and to accept the totality of all other means for publicizing the Notice of Hearing as adequate.

The inquiry was duly convened on Tuesday, September 12, 1972, in Room 38, Legislative Building in Regina, Saskatchewan, at the hour of ten o'clock in the forenoon.

No one appeared on behalf of the hospital district nor on behalf of any of the municipalities participating in the said district. Mr. C. M. Mutschall, Director, Administrative Services Division, Saskatchewan Hospital Services Plan, attended the hearing. There were also no written briefs submitted to the Board by anyone. The only submissions received by the Board consisted of advices by each of the participating municipalities to the hospital board in which each one waived interest in any portion of the surpluses but

expressed themselves in favour of the same being transferred to the Indian Head Union Hospital.

While the expressed desires of the municipalities concerned are quite understandable, there is little doubt about any portion of the surplus funds being payable to any other body but to the municipalities involved. This is specifically provided for in paragraph (c) of Section 69 of The Union Hospital Act, hereinbefore quoted.

The Board concludes, then, that the municipalities should share those funds on the basis of the following percentages, these having been the only ones in existence and effect during the life of the hospital district and applied to the sharing of both the capital and operational costs:

R. M. of Francis No. 127	25.937
R. M. of South Qu'Appelle No. 157	52.925
R. M. of North Qu'Appelle No. 187	4.569
Town of Qu'Appelle	10.723
Village of Vibank	5.846
	100.000

The Board therefore orders that payments be made to the above municipalities, pursuant to the provisions of paragraph (c) of Section 69 of The Union Hospital Act in the following amounts:

R. M. of Francis No. 127	$ 5,372.02
R. M. of South Qu'Appelle No. 157	$10,961.73
R. M. of North Qu'Appelle No. 187	$ 946.32
Town of Qu'Appelle	$ 2,220.93
Village of Vibank	$ 1,210.81

With respect to the expressed desires of each of the councils of each of the municipalities for the transferring of their portions of the surplus funds to the Indian Head Union Hospital hereinbefore referred to, each one will be in a position to act accordingly if it should so decide. If, however, the decision of any or all councils should be to the contrary, the Board strongly recommends that these funds be held for capital purposes only, perhaps for the setting up

of Capital Trust Funds where no such fund exists in a municipality or addition to such funds where they do exist. These monies could also be used for the repayment of long term debt.

DATED at REGINA, Saskatchewan, this 18th day of September 1972.

The Local Government Board

Per "H. S. McMillan"
Chairman

IN THE MATTER OF SECTION 22 OF THE LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS ACT R.S.S. 1965 AS AMENDED AND THE APPLICATION FROM THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF MOOSE JAW REQUESTING APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD TO FIX A HIGHER UNIFORM RATE PER FOOT FRONTAGE FOR CONSTRUCTION OF PROPOSED SEWER AND WATER MAINS IN THE WELLESLEY PARK DISTRICT IN THE CITY OF MOOSE JAW

Application was received by the Board from the Council of the City of Moose Jaw for approval to fix a higher uniform rate per foot frontage than provided for in Council's Uniform Rate Bylaw for construction of proposed sewer and water mains in the Wellesley Park district in the City of Moose Jaw.

In accordance with notice as published and posted, the Board convened a hearing on Tuesday, September 19, 1972, at the hour of eleven o'clock in the forenoon (Central Standard Time) in the Council Chambers, City Hall, City of Moose Jaw for the purpose of hearing persons interested in making representation to the Board on council's application for approval to fix a higher uniform rate per foot frontage as referred to.

The following were in attendance:

Members of the Local Government Board

On behalf of the City of Moose Jaw:

Mayor J. Ernest Pascoe
Mr. K.P.R.Hodges, City Clerk & Solicitor and Acting City Commissioner
Mr. C. A. Bowden, City Engineer
Mr. J. S. Tulloch, Assistant City Engineer

On behalf of themselves as residents of Wellesley Park:

Mrs. Judy McNaughton, 215 Wilton Ave., Moose Jaw
Mrs. Joan King, 346 Wellesley St., Moose Jaw
Mrs. Trixie Wray, 212 Wilton Ave., Moose Jaw

An affidavit proving the publishing and posting of the Notice of Hearing was filed by the City Clerk, Mr. K. P. R. Hodges. No formal briefs were presented.

In a written submission (copies of which were distributed to Board Members) the city engineer explained that higher rates had been fixed on two other areas in the past for approximately the same reasons, namely more difficult construction. These two areas were Churchill Park, constructed in 1960, and River Park Drive constructed in 1966. The proposed cash rates for installation of sewer and water mains in Wellesley Park are $8.16 for water and $11.57 for sewer. The comparative normal rates are $5.50 for water and $3.30 for sewer.

The city engineer pointed out that the proposed work in Wellesley Park was advertised as a contract and tenders were called with advertisements placed in the Regina, Saskatoon, Winnipeg, Calgary, Edmonton and Moose Jaw papers. No tenders were received. It was assumed that as the city's estimate was known, the contractors felt that they could not compete.

At this point the Local Government Board heard representations from the three ladies present. The ladies felt that the taxes were high enough for the services which they now receive and expressed concern that the frontage rates which were proposed were too high and were based on estimated costs only. They also expressed some doubt as to the validity of the estimates as no testing for the underground water level had been carried out. They also expressed concern about the possibility of the work being done at a figure less than that estimated and this would not be reflected in a lower frontage rate. The ladies thought that if the rates to be charged represented the actual cost of the work the residents would probably accept them due to the need for the work to be constructed.

There was a question from the ladies as to whether part of the cost of the lift station in Churchill Park was built into the estimated costs of Wellesley Park project. The city engineer replied that while the original rates (since upgraded to reflect increased costs of construction) of Churchill Park had been used, Wellesley Park estimates did not include any direct costs for that particular lift station.

In reply to a question from the Board, Mrs. McNaughton indicated that cost of pumping their septic tank was $21.00 per month. Mrs. Wray indicated the cost to their family was, purchase of water $25.00 per week, pumping septic tank $10.00 bi-monthly. Because of the lack of water, the quality of water when available and the expense of carrying on in the present manner, the ladies indicated that the project was very necessary.

Notwithstanding the fact that the Board has approved similar applications from the City of Moose Jaw in the past, it continues to view the fixing of higher rates for a particular project as a very serious matter. The Board has always felt that uniform rates should be established at a level which would provide sufficient funds for every project.

Notice of intention was published but did not produce any petitions against the work. After careful consideration of the facts placed before it at this hearing, the Board decided to approve of the city's application to fix a higher annual uniform rate per foot frontage for the construction of proposed water and sewer mains in Wellesley Park being $.89 and $1.27 respectively.

DATED at REGINA, Saskatchewan, this 28th day of September, 1972.

The Local Government Board

Per "H. S. McMillan"
Chairman

IN THE MATTER OF SECTION 4 (1) (e) OF THE LOCAL IMPROVEMENTS ACT OF SASKATCHEWAN AND OF BYLAWS NO. (LI) 2-72 AND NO. (LI) 3-72 OF THE TOWN OF HUDSON BAY FOR APPROVAL OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD TO CONSTRUCT CERTAIN STORM SEWERS AS A DISTRICT LOCAL IMPROVEMENT WITHOUT THE RIGHT OF PETITION BY THE PROPERTY OWNERS CONCERNED.

Under authorization from the Local Government Board, we conducted a hearing at the hour of ten o'clock (Central Standard Time) in the forenoon of Tuesday, December 5th, 1972 in the Council Chambers of the Town of Hudson Bay, Saskatchewan, for the purpose of hearing all parties interested in the granting or refusing of applications by the council of the Town of Hudson Bay to the said Board for approval to undertake the construction of storm sewers in the undermentioned locations in the said town as district local improvements without the right of petition by the property owners concerned, in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (e) of subsection (1) of Section 4 of The Local Improvements Act.

St or Ave	From	To
PROJECT PW-1B		
Hudson St	2nd Ave	300' N of 2nd Ave
Hamjea St	2nd Ave	1st Ave
First Ave	Hamjea St	Patricia St
Patricia St	1st Ave	250' N of 1st Ave
PROJECT PW-1C		
Churchill St	495' S of 4th Ave	5th Ave
Main St	5th Ave	450' N of 5th Ave
Hudson St	5th Ave	400' N of 5th Ave
Hamjea St	4th Ave	S side of 5th Ave
5th Ave	Hamjea St	#9 Hwy
5th Ave	Hamjea St	Churchill St

The following locations do not abut on the proposed storm sewers but benefit and will be assessed if the work is constructed:

PROJECT PW-1B		
Patricia St	250' N of 1st Ave	Railway Ave

PROJECT PW-1C

S side of 4th Ave	Hamjea St	417.56' W of Hamjea
Churchill St	495' S of 4th Ave	4th Ave
Main St	203.7' S of 5th Ave	5th Ave
Main St	450' N of 5th Ave	4th Ave
Hudson St	203.7' S of 5th Ave	5th Ave
Hudson St	400' N of 5th Ave	4th Ave
Hamjea St	200' S of 5th Ave	5th Ave

Appearing on behalf of the town were:

L. B. Brooks, Mayor
A. Bennett, Councillor
D. W. Friesen, Town Administrator
H. A. Sabier, of the firm of Keith Consulting Engineers, Consulting Engineer
George LaRose, also of that firm.

No one else attended the hearing.

The Board had directed that a copy of its notice be published in two issues of the local newspaper and that a copy of said notice be posted in six widely separated locations within the town. The Town Administrator, Mr. Friesen, stated that this directive was carried out and his statement is supported by a statutory declaration filed with the Board to attest to that effect.

There were no written submissions with respect to views in opposition to the project and in the absence of anyone excepting the town's officials, no other submissions to the Board. The only views expressed at the hearing were those of the town's officials, particularly that of Mayor Brooks, who strongly emphasized the need for the works in order to eliminate the problems suffered in each year by the residents in the two districts.

The estimated cost of Project No. PW-1B is $13,603.00 of which the earned assistance would be $6,801.50. The property share is $2,847.53 made up of $1,420.00 and $1,427.53 on abutting and non-abutting properties respectively and the town share of $10,755.47.

Project No. PW-1C is estimated to cost $46,593.00 of which the property share is $18,666.14 ($9,107.03 on abutting and $9,599.11 on non-abutting properties) and the town share is $27,926.86. The earned assistance on this project would be $23,296.50.

Summarizing the two projects their total estimated cost is $60,196.00 ($13,603.00 and $46,593.00). The total property share is $21,513.67 made up of $10,527.03 and $10,986.64 in frontages on abutting and non-abutting properties respectively. Taking into account the total cost of $60,196.00 and the total property share of $21,513.67, the town share becomes $38,682.33. An amount of $30,098.00 is available in earned assistance from the Municipal Road Assistance Authority, so that a balance of $8,594.33 in town share remains uncovered. Mayor Brooks indicated at the hearing that this amount will be paid out by providing for it in the 1973 budget.

The town expects prepayment of the property share to the extent of the whole amount in the case of project PW-1B (amounting to $2,847.53) and of about fifty (50) per cent in project PW-1C. The realization of these amounts will be most desirable in order that the borrowing requirements are reduced to the lowest possible figure.

Being quite satisfied of the need of the two storm sewer projects, of their apparent acceptance by the affected public and of the town's reasonable ability to undertake them, we recommend that the application by the town under Section 4, subsection (1) paragraph (e) of The Local Improvements Act be approved. We, however, suggest that the actual authorization of the project be withheld until such time as the financial statement for 1972 is available for perusal in order that the further improvement in the town's financial position may indeed be documented. Then in the light of the information contained in that statement the level of prepayments may be set out and the maximum borrowing fixed.

Dated at Regina, Saskatchewan, this 15th day of December 1972.

"M. A. Swenarchuk"

Member

"D. K. McCusker"

Member

The Board concurred in the recommendation of the Members as above and adopted their report as the approval of the Board.

DATED at REGINA, Saskatchewan, the 15th day of December 1972.

THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD

Per "H. S. McMillan"
Chairman

REPORT ON MEETING OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD

A meeting of the Advisory Committee to the Local Government Board was held in the Board Room, Room 38, Legislative Building, Regina, Saskatchewan, at 10:00 o'clock in the forenoon (Central Standard Time) on Wednesday, March 16, 1972.

Present: Mr. H. S. McMillan, Chairman,Local Government Board

Mr. M. A. Swenarchuk, Member,Local Government Board

Mr. D. K. McCusker, Member,Local Government Board

Mr. L. H. Lewry, Member,Local Government Board

Mr. W.Ray Grant, Representative from The Saskatchewan Association of Rural Municipalities

Mr. John Murphy, Representative from Saskatchewan Urban Municipalities Association

Mr. D. R. Leier, Representative from Saskatchewan School Trustees Association

Mrs. E. Edwards, Representative from Saskatchewan Hospital Association

Mr. A.C.McEachen, Secretary,Local Government Board

Mr. McMillan acted as Chairman and on behalf of the Board welcomed the members to the meeting and invited them to enter into the discussions, ask questions, etc.

It was noted that this is the first meeting of the committee since the 1971 amendments to The Local Government Board Act which provided for an increase in the number on the committee by adding representatives of the Saskatchewan School Trustees Association and the Saskatchewan Hospital Association. The said amendment was the result of a recommendation made by the Local Government Board, and the Board feels that the committee as now constituted will give a wide picture of local government in the province as the school and hospital point of view will be included.

The purpose of the committee was explained, and an agenda as developed by the Board was presented. It was pointed out that this suggested agenda resembled very closely the one followed last year. This has been done very deliberately for the benefit of the new members on the committee.

It was agreed, on motion of Mr. Murphy, that the said agenda, as set out below, be adopted as the agenda for this meeting.

A G E N D A

1. Markets for the sale of local government bonds.
2. Local Government Board policy of down payment equivalent to a minimum of 10% of proposed borrowing.
3. Capital Trust Funds - Creation of, increasing such accounts and general aspects.
4. Planning of capital works.
5. Provision for recreational facilities.
6. Votes on borrowing bylaws.
7. Creation of Reserves:
   (a) in hospital districts
   (b) for utilities in urban centres.
8. Provision of joint facilities for municipal purposes.
9. Federal-Provincial Winter Works Program.
10. Grants to charitable organizations in urban centres.
11. Any special problems by various types of local governments:
   (a) urban
   (b) rural
   (c) schools
   (d) hospitals
12. Any other matters proposed by committee members.

1. Markets for the sale of local government bonds

The Chairman pointed out that the situation was about the same as a year ago with possibly some evidence of stability in the municipal bond market.

Mrs. Edwards brought up the possibility of Saskatchewan municipalities going to some foreign markets for debenture money. The question was raised as to whether or not legislative provision should be considered with a view to providing authority for such sales other than American and sterling as now provided. After some discussion and taking into consideration the money exchange situation from time to time, it was agreed that we should continue for the present and rely on the Canadian Money Market.

It was noted that the Board has always preferred to have borrowing in Canadian funds to avoid the gamble on the exchanges, unless there is a major benefit to the local government concerned in the matter of cost of the funds. The very danger of substantial losses through changes in the exchanges of currencies causes the Board to believe that availability of foreign funds is not a good reason for borrowing outside of Canada.

Mrs. Edwards enquired as to whether or not there was much demand by local authorities for borrowings at this time.

The Chairman indicated that the Board considers caution and restraint is evident and local sales of debentures are still encouraged with the result that of $13,131,159.00 of local government debentures sold during 1971, $7,029,781.00 were sold locally. Only five issues involving approximately 2.2 million dollars were disposed of through dealers.

The committee agreed with Mr. Grant's statement to the effect that short term money was available but that long term debentures were more difficult to sell.

Mr. Leier commented on the fact that in some cases pressure was being made on local banks and credit unions to buy debentures to retain or obtain the banking business of the local authority. The Chairman indicated that the Board did not condone this practise, and believed that the lending institutions such as banks and credit unions were aware of the Board's position on this.

Mr. Murphy enquired as to whether or not a municipality may purchase other municipal or local government debentures.

The Chairman indicated that this could be done in accordance with the statutory provisions, which set out quite specifically the investments that a local government may make. He also pointed out, however, that sinking funds should not be loaded with the particular municipality's own debentures.

Mr. Leier referred to purchase by pension funds of local government debentures to which it was noted that there were statutory or regulatory limitations in this respect.

Mrs. Edwards referred to the fact that the Local Government Board had expressed concern indicating that there are a number of local governments in the province whose debenture debts are too high and asked if there were local governments that had borrowed in excess of the statutory limits.

The Chairman advised that no local governments were indebted in excess of their legal borrowing limits. The problem relates more to the fact that in some cases the statutory limits

permit too high a debt for the local government concerned in that there are many other debts that are not included in calculating the amount charged against the legal debt limit, such as school borrowings, hospital borrowings, and debt created for the property share of local improvements. Generally speaking, the Board's concern relates to some of the smaller communities.

Mr. Leier asked what the situation was for all of the local government bodies of the province and was referred to Table V of the Board's 1971 Annual Report, a copy of which had been made available to all members of the committee.

Mr. Murphy indicated support of the Chairman's statement that the Board's concern should be for the smaller urban municipalities and the ability to repay borrowings, as in many instances properties were reverting to the municipality with the resultant loss in tax revenue.

Mr. Grant pointed out that debenture borrowings by rural municipalities had been very limited due to the extensive use of Capital Trust Funds for financing purchases of machinery and other capital projects.

Mr. McCusker suggested that every effort should be made by the local government officials to establish local markets for sale of debentures.

2. Local Government Board down payment policy

The Chairman indicated that there had been some criticism of the policy. He reviewed the purpose and how it operated and pointed out that local governments seemed to be realizing the benefits thereof. It appears that the necessary provisions are being made in current budgets.

Mr. Leier indicated that there seemed to be some opposition to the policy when it was introduced but it was now viewed with favour. He pointed out two of the effects that had come to his attention.

(a) School boards, in some instances, had developed the practice of including the purchase of furniture and equipment in debenture borrowings but the 10% policy seemed to have the effect of these items being provided from current budgets.

(b) Local government officials are made conscious of the impact present borrowing will have on future budgets.

Mr. Murphy indicated some opposition during the first year but now it seems to be accepted and is no problem.

It was agreed that the policy as presently in effect should be continued.

3. Capital Trust Funds

The Chairman reviewed the advantages to local governments from having a Capital Trust Fund established and in operation to finance minor capital expenditures.

Mrs. Edwards expressed the opinion that many municipal officials did not have the knowledge to cope with present day capital financing and an educational program on municipal financing should be considered possibly by the associations now in existence.

Mr. Leier indicated that members of the Trustees Association attend School and/or Unit Board meetings to offer help and advice to the extent possible.

Mr. Murphy indicated that S.U.M.A. will make provisions for attendance at council meetings on request, and that work is now being done on a guidance manual for the smaller urban municipalities.

Mr. Grant indicated that rural district meetings were organized through S.A.R.M. for reeves and councillors. The Department of Municipal Affairs participates in such meetings by having members of the advisory staff and others from the department attend.

4. Planning of capital works

The Chairman indicated that the Board very strongly supports the preparation and use of a five-year capital works program. Such programs must be for guide purposes only and adjusted and brought up to date each year as necessary.

Mrs. Edwards pointed out that again this is a matter of local government officials having the necessary knowledge on municipal financing to properly understand the advisability of such programs.

Mr. Leier pointed out that a committee had been established in Saskatoon to act as liaison between the various school boards and city council to determine the flow of tax dollars and the impact on the taxpayer.

The Chairman suggested that some co-operation and co-ordination should exist or be established with the local governing bodies.

Mr. Murphy expressed the opinion that in many cases the officials of the smaller municipalities do not have the facilities or knowledge to provide for proper planning in municipal finances.

The meeting adjourned for lunch at 12:00 noon and reconvened at 2:00 p.m.

5. Provision for recreation facilities

The Chairman indicated that the Board had some reservations as to borrowings to finance the cost of providing recreation facilities except for nominal amounts. The Board considers that there are many other projects in the community that would have a higher priority than recreation facilities.

Mr. Murphy indicated that it is necessary to distinguish between the need for such facilities in larger urban centres as compared to smaller centres. He suggested organization of participating municipalities to provide services based on agreement to share capital and operating costs. This could be a recreation area authority with the authority for borrowing.

6. Votes on borrowing bylaws

The Chairman explained the present situation pointing out that the statutory provisions are such that, in most instances, the Board may approve borrowing without the borrowing bylaw being subject to ratification by the burgesses. He indicated, however, that the Board had established the general policy whereby a vote should be held except when the borrowing is for improvements to self-liquidating utility projects.

7. Creation of reserves

(a) Union hospital districts

The Chairman pointed out that the inclusion of amounts in requisitions to create reserves for future capital expenditures was subject to approval of the Department of Public Health and the Local Government Board and requested some thoughts as to what the Board's involvement should be in this matter.

Mrs. Edwards expressed the thought that it was necessary to have some control and particularly as to the effect on the overall requisition of the hospital board on the respective municipalities.

She also indicated that she considered nominated persons on boards were as conscious of the municipal dollar as elected persons. The Chairman expressed concern that there did not seem to be proper liaison between school and hospital boards and the respective municipalities.

It was concluded that the Local Government Board should be concerned with the overall mill rate required for union hospital requisitions when provision is being made to levy for reserves.

(b) Urban centres

The Chairman indicated that the Board strongly recommends that surpluses from the operation of utilities should be reserved for the purpose of financing utility expenditures particularly of a capital nature. He also pointed out that there was provision in The Urban Municipality Act whereby council could levy for reserves covering specific future capital projects.

Mr. Leier pointed out that school boards should be providing some reserves for replacement of high cost technical equipment in schools or problems will be encountered in the future. They now provide reserves for depreciation on buses and must consider somewhat the same for technical equipment and older schools to be replaced.

8. Joint facilities

The Chairman indicated that the Board was in favour of joint administration facilities being provided and used wherever possible to which general agreement was expressed.

9. Federal-Provincial Winter Works Program

The Chairman indicated that the Local Government Board has been involved in a major way in the Loan Program but not to any extent with the Local Initiative Program. The Board is concerned as to the ability of some local governments to finance the relevant project if the full amount of forgiveness and matching grants are not forthcoming.

Mr. Leier pointed out the fallacy of programs of this nature whereby local governments are tempted to or possibly pressured by the residents to enter into such programs to receive certain grants when the balance of the financing of the proposed project is beyond the ability of the local government to pay.

10. Grants to Charitable Organizations

The Chairman pointed out that grants by urban municipalities in excess of one-half mill on the taxable assessment were subject to approval of the Local Government Board. The Board considers it satisfactory if the municipality makes provision in the current budget without adverse deficit financing.

11. Others

Mr. Leier indicated that his Association was conducting a study of school boards with assessments below $3,000,000.00 with a view to arriving at some thought on ways of providing necessary education facilities.

The Chairman, on behalf of the Board, expressed thanks to the members of the committee for their attendance and helpful discussion of the matters raised and the meeting adjourned at 3:30 pm.

"A. C. McEachen"

A. C. McEachen,
Secretary.

TABLE I

DEBENTURES ISSUED
SASKATCHEWAN LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
1963 - 1972
By Class of Issuing Authority
in Thousands

	Cities		Towns		Villages		City School Districts		Other School Districts		School Units		Hospitals		Other		Totals	
	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.	No.	Amt.
1963	32	10,185	82	3,944	118	2,806	6	1,398	10	632	27	3,496	5	645	11	272	291	23,378
1964	24	10,481	55	2,516	87	1,995	7	1,173	15	1,171	45	4,131	9	1,081	37	482	279	23,030
1965	39	9,954	96	4,439	124	2,600	18	2,094	6	244	61	5,185	5	1,400	80	1,237	429	27,153
1966	41	16,832	93	4,033	102	2,327	23	5,200	3	36	43	5,665	11	1,645	86	1,357	402	37,095
1967	52	11,682	137	6,613	126	2,412	15	1,523	3	185	42	4,947	11	1,818	34	714	420	29,894
1968	32	8,561	65	3,715	64	1,472	9	1,548	1	95	19	1,487	6	1,434	11	210	207	18,522
1969	25	5,593	29	1,100	33	653	5	743	-	-	14	1,624	3	233	10	68	119	10,014
1970	19	4,773	17	741	13	212	2	147	-	-	8	664	5	1,523	3	63	67	8,123
1971	26	9,316	26	680	7	119	10	1,493	3	101	14	974	2	365	8	83	96	13,131
1972	25	6,280	22	529	6	71	7	1,293	-	-	14	816	2	415	2	31	78	9,435

No. where it appears above means numbers of issues. Amounts are in thousands of dollars.

TABLE II

DEBENTURE ISSUES
SASKATCHEWAN URBAN MUNICIPALITIES

1963 - 1972
in Thousands

	CITIES					TOWNS				VILLAGES			
	Utilities	Local Imp.	Schools	Other	Total	Utilities	Local Imp.	Other	Total	Utilities	Local Imp.	Other	Total
1963	3,200	3,535	1,550	1,900	10,185	1,100	2,691	153	3,944	1,368	1,418	20	2,806
1964	1,851	4,744	1,825	2,060	10,480	1,179	1,024	312	2,515	896	1,098	-	1,994
1965	2,168	3,804	-	3,982	9,954	1,231	2,404	804	4,439	908	1,669	23	2,600
1966	1,344	3,334	5,150	7,004	16,832	931	1,687	1,415	4,033*	833	1,441	53	2,327
1967	1,079	5,555	2,421	2,627	11,682	662	3,578	2,373	6,613	1,053	1,300	59	2,412
1968	1,145	3,517	2,747	1,152	8,561	755	2,184	776	3,715*	776	696	-	1,472
1969	1,247	3,349	-	997	5,593	114	660	326	1,100*	144	509	-	653
1970	1,211	2,932	777	-	4,920	141	570	30	741	125	87	-	212
1971	5,508	1,603	1,350	855	9,316	253	332	95	680	85	33	-	118
1972	1,499	1,942	476	2,363	6,280	213	284	32	529*	-	66	5	71

*Contains substantial issues by Municipal Corporation of Uranium City and District
1966 - $110 1968 - $222 1969 - $268 1972 - $49

"Utilities" means self-supporting municipal works including sewer and water works, transit systems and electric power utilities.

"Local Improvement" means works which are chargeable in whole or in part against abutting property, i.e. sewer and water mains, sidewalks, curbs and gutters, paving, etc.

TABLE III

DEBENTURES ISSUED
SASKATCHEWAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT

1963 - 1972
in Thousands

Classified as to function to which borrowed funds were applied

	Urban (1) Municipal Function		School (2) Function		Hospital (3) Function		Other		Total	
	%		%		%		%		%	
1963	66	15,385	30	7,076	3	645	1	272	100	23,378
1964	57	13,167	36	8,300	5	1,081	2	482	100	23,030
1965	63	16,993	28	7,523	5	1,400	4	1,237	100	27,153
1966	44	16,457	44	16,461	8	2,820	4	1,357	100	37,095
1967	61	18,286	30	9,076	6	1,818	3	714	100	29,894
1968	58	10,741	33	6,137	8	1,434	1	210	100	18,522
1969	73	7,346	24	2,367	2	233	1	68	100	10,014
1970	62	5,067	18	1,441	19	1,553	1	62	100	8,123
1971	66	8,765	30	3,918	3	365	1	83	100	13,131
1972	68	6,404	27	2,585	4	415	1	31	100	9,435

(1) Includes city, town and village borrowing except for city borrowing on behalf of school boards.

(2) Includes school districts (public and separate), school units and amounts borrowed by cities and towns on behalf of school boards.

(3) Includes union hospital districts and amounts borrowed by cities for hospital purposes.

TABLE IV

DEBENTURES ISSUED
SASKATCHEWAN CITIES AND INCLUDING
ISSUES FOR COLLEGIATES, PUBLIC
AND SEPARATE SCHOOLS

1963 - 1972
in Thousands

City	1963	1964	1965	1966	1967	1968	1969	1970	1971	1972
Estevan	210	108	291	106	581	1,419	265	-	180	-
Lloydminster*	90	125	55	876	735	417	167	247	225	198
Melville	108	56	436	320	244	350	456	22	26	61
Moose Jaw	950	357	376	675	1,219	335	302	180	334	190
North Battleford	221	110	570	478	115	1,125	65	44	48	155
Prince Albert	160	580	275	1,069	550	393	-	-	186	1,718
Regina	5,161	4,002	3,969	7,142	2,550	4,038	2,499	720	2,114	3,561
Saskatoon	3,911	6,044	5,527	9,996	4,853	1,981	1,972	2,729	7,436	1,714
Swift Current	397	112	185	604	1,385	192	80	67	27	63
Weyburn	-	-	180	738	703	115	384	697	-	-
Yorkton	365	285	239	904	1,005	161	313	461	459	110

* Debenture issues for Lloydminster are authorized by Complementary Orders in Council of the Provinces of Alberta and Saskatchewan.

TABLE V

SASKATCHEWAN LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEBENTURE DEBT

December 31

in Thousands

Year	Net Municipal Debt					Total School Debt (2)	Hospital Debt (3)	Telephone Debt	Grand Total
	City (1)	Town (1)	Village	R.M.	Total				
1957	48,758	7,206	207	141	56,312	23,517	6,827	456	87,112
1960	74,177	11,644	190	235	86,246	42,027	8,686	627	137,586
1965	88,350	28,399	8,834	1,151	126,734	64,068	9,019	1,242	201,063
1966	93,891	31,100	10,428	1,801	137,220	77,687	10,682	1,549	227,138
1967	96,620	35,588	11,843	1,849	145,900	80,718	11,765	1,600	239,983
1968	95,678	37,799	12,365	1,558	147,400	81,612	12,370	1,441	242,823
1969	94,727	36,424	12,042	1,117	144,310	78,415	11,225	1,310	235,260
1970	91,815	34,910	11,429	762	138,916	75,335	11,230	1,170	226,651
1971	90,784	33,198	10,616	535	135,133	73,408	10,620	1,032	220,193

NOTE: The above table does not include debenture debt for Conservation and Development Areas.

These figures were compiled from reports obtained from the Department of Municipal Affairs and from Local Government Board records.

(1) - Excludes net secondary school debt.
(2) - Includes net secondary school debt. Borrowings for secondary schools are carried out by the urban municipality in which they are located.
(3) - Includes municipal hospital debt except for Regina and Saskatoon. In these cases the net hospital debt is included in the City Municipal debt figure.

APPENDIX INDEX

A - Statutes forming legal basis for Local Government Board activities

B - Summary of debentures signed and sealed by the Board in 1972

C - Summary of authorizations and refusals of debenture issues in 1972

D - Proposed local improvement projects approved and refused by the Board in 1972

E - Authorizations of sinking fund investments

F - Surplus sinking fund earnings withdrawals

G - Miscellaneous applications respecting purchase and sale of investments considered by the Board in 1972

H - Transactions of Capital Accounts including Electric Light Trust Accounts

I - Capital Trust Funds

J - Local authority estimates approved and tax rates authorized

K - Inquiries and appeals held by the Board in 1972

L - Orders issued by the Local Government Board in 1972 under The Public Health and Union Hospital Acts

O - Miscellaneous applications dealt with by the Board in 1972

Q - Housing Company agreements approved in 1972

R - Deferrals approved (Cities, Towns, Villages, Rural Municipalities, School Units, School Districts and Union Hospitals)

S - Water Rates and/or Sewer Service Charge Bylaws and Amending Bylaws approved by the Board in 1972

APPENDIX A

STATUTES FORMING LEGAL BASIS FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD ACTIVITIES

The Local Government Board Act
The Local Government Board (Special Powers) Act
The Local Government Board (Temporary Special Powers) Act
The Agricultural Societies Act, 1966
The Cemeteries Act
Charter of the City of Lloydminster
Charter of The Municipal Corporation of Uranium City and District
The Conservation and Development Act
The Federal-Provincial Agreements Act, 1972
The Gas and Electric Rates (Public Corporations) Act
The Health Services Act
The Hospital Revenue Act, 1966
The Housing and Special-care Homes Act
The Housing and Urban Renewal Act, 1966
The Industrial Towns Act
The Larger School Units Act
The Lloydminster Hospital Act
The Lloydminster Municipal Amalgamation Act
The Local Improvements Act
The Major Urban Centres Integrated Hospitals Act, 1971
The Municipal Corporation of Uranium City and District Act
The Municipal Debentures Repayment Act
The Municipal Improvements Assistance (Saskatchewan) Act
The Municipal Public Works Act
The Power Corporation Act
The Public Health Act
The Public Libraries Act, 1969
The Public Utilities Companies Act
The Regional Park Act
The Rural Municipality Act, 1972
The Rural Telephones Act
The School Act
The Secondary Education Act
The Trustee Act
The Union Hospital Act
The Urban Municipal Elections Act, 1968
The Urban Municipality Act, 1970
The Water Rights Act
The Water Users Act

APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF DEBENTURES
SIGNED AND SEALED* BY BOARD 1972

Local Authority	No. of Issues	Amounts	For Detail See
Cities	25	$6,279,927.	Appendix B-1
Towns	22	528,860.	Appendix B-2
Villages	6	70,900.	Appendix B-3
Rural Municipalities	Nil	Nil	
School Districts	7	1,293,000.	Appendix B-5
School Units	14	816,000.	Appendix B-6
Union Hospital Districts	2	415,000.	Appendix B-7
Rural Telephone Companies	1	25,000.	Appendix B-8
Conservation and Development Areas	1	6,000.	Appendix B-9
	78	$9,434,687.	

* i.e. Issued by Local Authorities.

APPENDIX B-1

DEBENTURES SIGNED AND SEALED BY THE BOARD 1972

C I T I E S

City	No. of Iss.	Term Yrs.	Coup. Rate	Money Cost	Price	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Melville	2	13	8	8.00	Par		36,000.		61,000.
		3	8	8.00	Par		25,000.		
Moose Jaw	1	20	8	8.00	Par	47,953.	111,742.	30,305. (1)	190,000.
North Battleford	2	10	8	8.00	Par		50,000.		155,000.
		10	8	8.00	Par		105,000.		
Prince Albert	1	25	8.42	8.42	Par	878,417.*			878,417.
Regina	10	10	8	8.00	Par		164,000.		3,247,130.
		20	8	8.00	Par	72,000.		61,000. (1)	
		15	8	8.00	Par		475,000.		
		20	8.42	8.42	Par	151,130.*			
		20	8	8.47	95.50			1,330,000. (2)	
		10	8 1/4	8.37	99.17			80,000. (3)	
		20	8 1/4	8.37	98.81			420,000. (4)	
		10	8 1/4	8.37	99.17		180,000.		
		15	8 1/4	8.37	98.95		216,000.		
		20	8 1/4	8.37	98.81	66,000.		32,000. (1)	
Saskatoon	6	25	8.42	8.42	Par	469,475.*			1,604,237.
		7	7 1/2 & 7 3/4	7.62	Par			145,000. (5)	
		15	7 1/2, 7 3/4 & 8	7.75	Par			388,000. (6)	

APPENDIX B-1 (Cont'd.)

City	No. of Iss.	Term Yrs.	Coup. Rate	Money Cost	Price	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Saskatoon (cont'd.)		10	7 1/2, 7 3/4 & 8	7.75	Par		101,000.		
		25	8	8.00	Par	16,564.		8,198.(1)	
		25	7 1/2, 7 3/4, 8 & 8 3/8	7.91	Par			476,000.(7)	
Swift Current	1	10	8 1/4	8.36	99.43		34,000.		34,000.
Yorkton	2	20	7 3/4	7.75	Par	13,143.			110,143.
		10	7 3/4	7.75	Par		97,000.		
	25					$1,714,682.	$1,594,742.	$2,970,503.	$6,279,927.

Capital portion chargeable against system as a whole. All others in this column are "local improvement" issues.

(1) Storm Sewers
(2) Water Feeder Line, Pasqua-Lewvan Expressway subway, refuse grinder and transfer station
(3) Equipment for Parks Department
(4) Street lighting and developing Mount Pleasant sports area
(5) Diamond Intersection
(6) Renovations to University (25th Street) Bridge
(7) Addition to Aden Bowman Collegiate

APPENDIX B-2

DEBENTURES SIGNED AND SEALED BY THE BOARD 1972

T O W N S

Town	No. of Iss.	Term Yrs.	Coup. Rate	Money Cost	Price	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Assiniboia	1	15	8	8.07	99.54		69,000.		69,000.
Battleford	1	10	8	8.00	Par		16,387.		16,387.
Bengough	1	10	8	8.00	Par		5,000.		5,000.
Biggar	1	20	8	8.20	98.32	22,000.			22,000.
Canora	1	15	7 1/2	7.50	Par			7,109. (1)	7,109.
Carnduff	1	10	8	8.00	Par			20,000. (2)	20,000.
Eastend	2	10	8	8.00	Par		23,000.		38,000.
		20	8.42	8.42	Par	15,000.*			
Eston	1	8	8	7.95	100.23		37,000.		37,000.
Gravelbourg	1	20	8	8.00	Par	6,000.			6,000.
Kamsack	1	20	8	8.00	Par	4,296.			4,296.
Kerrobert	1	10	8	8.00	Par	50,000.*			50,000.
Kindersley	1	20	8	8.00	Par	7,577.			7,577.
Macklin	1	20	8.03	8.03	Par	9,126.*			9,126.
Maple Creek	1	10	8	8.00	Par		60,000.		60,000.
Nipawin	1	5	8	8.00	Par		7,387.		7,387.
Preeceville	1	20	8.42	8.42	Par	33,822.*			33,822.
Strasbourg	1	10	8	8.00	Par	16,500.*			16,500.
Uranium City	2	20	7.38	7.38	Par	36,871.*			48,871.
		5	8 1/2	8.50	Par			12,000. (3)	
Wadena	1	20	8.42	8.42	Par	51,285.*			51,285.
Warman	1	20	8	8.07	99.45	19,500.			19,500.
	22					$271,977.	$217,774.	$39,109.	$528,860.

APPENDIX B-2 (Cont'd.)

* Capital portion chargeable against system as a whole. All others in this column are "local improvement" issues.

(1) storm sewers
(2) artificial ice plants in skating and curling rinks
(3) renovations to the Municipal Hospital

APPENDIX B-3

DEBENTURES SIGNED AND SEALED BY THE BOARD 1972

VILLAGES

Village	No. of Iss.	Term Yrs.	Coup. Rate	Money Cost	Price	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Fox Valley	2	3	7 1/2	7.50	Par		14,714.		25,092.
		5	7 1/2	7.50	Par		10,378.		
Kisbey	1	5	7 1/2	7.50	Par			4,500. (1)	4,500.
Landis	1	10	7 1/2	7.50	Par	9,407.			9,407.
Leoville	1	15	8	8.00	Par	22,901.			22,901.
Paradise Hill	1	10	8	8.07	99.62	9,000.			9,000.
	6					$41,308.	$25,092.	$4,500.	$70,900.

* Capital portion chargeable against system as a whole. All others in this column are "local improvement" issues.

(1) Fire engine and pumper unit.

APPENDIX B-5

DEBENTURES SIGNED AND SEALED BY THE BOARD 1972

SCHOOL DISTRICTS

School District	No. of Iss.	Term Yrs.	Coup. Rate	Money Cost	Price	Total
Graton R.C.S. No. 13	3	15	7 1/2	7.82	98.50	87,000.
		15	7 1/2	7.82	98.50	104,000.
		15	7 1/2	7.82	98.50	123,000.
Prince Albert R.C.S. No. 6	1	20	8	8.06	99.49	140,000.
Prince Albert Public No. 3	1	20	8	8.06	99.48	700,000.
St. Patrick's R.C.S. No. 11	1	20	8	8.00	Par	29,000.
St. Paul's R.C.S. No. 20	1	20	7 3/4	7.75	Par	110,000.
	7					$1,293,000.

All issues were for the construction of additions to existing schools.

APPENDIX B-6

DEBENTURES SIGNED AND SEALED BY THE BOARD 1972

SCHOOL UNITS

School Unit	No. of Iss.	Term Yrs.	Coup. Rate	Money Cost	Price	Total
Broadview No. 18	1	10	7 3/4	7.96	98.95	31,000.
Eastend No. 8	1	10	8	8.00	Par	90,000.
Kamsack No. 35	1	10	7 3/4	7.75	Par	(1) 40,000.
Kerrobert No. 44	2	15	8	7.98	100.14	85,000.
		10	8	8.00	Par	10,000.
Leader No. 24	1	5	7 1/2	7.50	Par	17,000.
Medstead No. 64	1	10	7 1/2	7.50	Par	46,000.
North Battleford No. 58	2	15	7 3/4	7.75	Par	50,000.
		10	7 3/4	7.75	Par	(2) 10,000.
Outlook No. 32	1	10	8	8.00	Par	17,000.
Parkland No. 63	1	10	8	8.00	Par	69,000.
Prince Albert No. 56	1	20	8	8.05	99.54	281,000.
Rosetown No. 43	1	5	7 3/4	7.75	Par	20,000.
Rosthern No. 49	1	15	8	8.00	Par	50,000.
	14					$816,000.

(1) Bus garage and bus equipment
(2) Renovating School Unit Office
All other issues were for the construction of additions to existing schools.

APPENDIX B-7

DEBENTURES SIGNED AND SEALED BY THE BOARD 1972

UNION HOSPITAL DISTRICTS

Hospital District	No. of Iss.	Term Yrs.	Coup. Rate	Money Cost	Price	Total
Lanigan	1	30	8 1/8	8.12	Par	(1) 400,000.
Nipawin	1	5	7 3/4	7.75	Par	(2) 15,000.
	2					$415,000.

(1) New hospital
(2) Boiler installation and construction deficit

APPENDIX B-8

DEBENTURES SIGNED AND SEALED BY THE BOARD 1972

RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANIES

Telephone Company	No. of Iss.	Term Yrs.	Coup. Rate	Money Cost	Price	Purpose	Total
Odessa	1	15	8	8.00	Par	Buried cable	$25,000.

APPENDIX B-9

DEBENTURES SIGNED AND SEALED BY THE BOARD 1972

CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT AREAS

Conservation and Development Area	No. of Iss.	Term Yrs.	Coup. Rate	Money Cost	Price	Purpose	Total
Good Lake No. 94	1	10	9	9.00	Par	Flood control	$6,000.

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF AUTHORIZATIONS, REFUSALS OR PARTIAL REFUSALS OF DEBENTURE ISSUES

Local Authority	Number of Authorizations	Amounts	No. of Refusals	Amounts	For Detail See
Cities	59	5,113,944.	6	127,000.	Appendix C-1
Towns	78	1,921,223.	2	156,200.	Appendix C-2
Villages	37	577,577.	1	1,200.	Appendix C-3
Rural Municipalities	37	485,161.	1	11,500.	Appendix C-4
School Districts	15	927,336.	4	8,000.	Appendix C-5
School Units	41	1,695,112.	11	199,014.	Appendix C-6
Union Hospital Districts	4	479,500.	Nil	Nil	Appendix C-7
Rural Telephone Companies	1	25,000.	Nil	Nil	Appendix C-8
Conservation and Development Areas	4	70,200.	Nil	Nil	Appendix C-9
	276	$11,295,053.	25	$502,914.	

APPENDIX C-1

AUTHORIZATION OF DEBENTURE ISSUES

C I T I E S

City	No. of Author.	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Melville	3		36,000. 25,000.	67,500. (2)	128,500.
Moose Jaw	9	47,953.	45,270. 66,472.	11,025. (3) 30,305. (1) 155,000. (4)x 63,000. (4)x 77,000. (4)x 18,000. (4)x	514,025.
North Battleford	2		35,848. 59,152.		95,000.
Prince Albert	10	6,878. 1,819. 7,915.	201,468. 19,581. 45,337. 21,564. 3,104.	95,000. (5) 290,000. (6)	692,666.
Regina	25	34,000. 32,000.	9,634. 162,366. 10,857. 2,733. 30,410. 39,153.	32,000. (1)	3,400,500.

APPENDIX C-1 (Cont'd.)

City	No. of Author.	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Regina (cont'd.)			140,847.	135,000.(4) 365,000.(4) 164,000.(4) 71,000.(4)× 141,000.(7) 90,000.(8) 13,500.(9) 180,000.(10) 225,000.(11) 139,500.(12) 296,000.(13) 45,000.(1) 157,500.(14) 304,000.(4) 580,000.(4)	
Saskatoon	5	16,564.	6,984. 19,510. 74,703.	8,198.(1)	125,959.
Swift Current	3		32,473. 2,399.	12,000.(15)	46,872.
Yorkton	2	13,143.	97,279.		110,422.
	59	$160,272.	$1,188,144.	$3,765,528.	$5,113,944.

APPENDIX C-1 (Cont'd.)

x Application partially refused.

(1) Storm Sewers
(2) Curling Rink
(3) Open air rink
(4) New or additions to schools
(5) City Yards garage
(6) Public Library building
(7) Pavement widening throughout city
(8) Improvements to Wascana Creek and Kings Park
(9) Water Feeder Line on Dorothy Street
(10) Railway line relocation
(11) Extending and upgrading street lighting
(12) Continuation of Pasqua-Lewvan Expressway
(13) Completing North Quadrant of Ring Road
(14) Storm Trunk Sewer on Fleet Street
(15) Renovations to building for museum purposes

APPENDIX C-1 (Cont'd.)

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO BORROW BY WAY OF DEBENTURE REFUSED OR PARTIALLY REFUSED

C I T I E S

City	Number	Sewer & Water	Buildings	Other	Total
Melville	1			100,000. (1)	100,000.
Moose Jaw	4		9,000.		18,000.
			3,000.		
			5,000.		
			1,000.		
Regina	1		9,000.		9,000.
	6		$27,000.	$100,000.	$127,000.

(1) Curling Rink Complex

APPENDIX C-2

AUTHORIZATION OF DEBENTURE ISSUES

TOWNS

Town	No. of Author.	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Assiniboia	2		52,185.	8,800.(2)	60,985.
Balcarres	2	7,700.*		22,500.(2)	30,200.
Balgonie	1			15,600.(2&3)	15,600.
Battleford	7	3,285.*	15,807.	10,200.(3)	64,838.
		6,750.*	581.	12,735.(4)	
				15,480.(5)	
Biggar	1	22,500.			22,500.
Broadview	1			13,500.(3)	13,500.
Canora	3			7,109.(1)	18,429.
				5,175.(2)	
				6,145.(2)	
Carlyle	1			22,650.(3)	22,650.
Central Butte	1	12,920.*			12,920.
Cupar	1			22,800.(2)	22,800.
Davidson	1			118,000.(2&3)	118,000.
Delisle	1			27,200.(2)	27,200.
Duck Lake	1			31,500.(2)	31,500.
Esterhazy	1			24,000.(2)	24,000.
Eston	1		37,938.		37,938.
Gravelbourg	4	4,000.		11,400.(2)	18,240.
		2,000.		840.(6)	
Gull Lake	3		41,577.	12,750.(2)	59,327.
				5,000.(2)	

APPENDIX C-2 (Cont'd.)

Town	No. of Author.	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Hanley	1	5,250.*			5,250.
Hudson Bay	1			26,600.(2)	26,600.
Humboldt	2	26,000.*		128,000.(2)	154,000.
Kamsack	1	142,000.*			142,000.
Kelvington	1			24,750.(3)	24,750.
Kerrobert	1	50,000.*			50,000.
Kindersley	1	7,577.			7,577.
Kinistino	1	22,800.*			22,800.
Lafleche	1			55,000.(2)x	55,000.
Langenburg	1	27,210.*			27,210.
Lemberg	1			10,800.(3)	10,800.
Lumsden	2			55,000.(3)	151,000.
				96,000.(2)	
Luseland	1			3,000.(3)	3,000.
Maidstone	1			15,000.(2)	15,000.
Moosomin	2	54,800.*			66,817.
		12,017.			
Morse	1			7,100.(7)	7,100.
Nipawin	3		7,387.	31,500.(2)	54,631.
				15,744.(2)	
Norquay	1			13,500.(2)	13,500.
Outlook	1			96,000.(2)	96,000.
Ponteix	2	16,150.*		18,104.(2)	34,254.
Rocanville	2	64,660.*	42,486.		107,146.
Rosetown	1		37,502.		37,502.
Rose Valley	1			1,050.(2)	1,050.
Saltcoats	1			12,075.(2)	12,075.
Spiritwood	1			19,500.(3)	19,500.

APPENDIX C-2 (Cont'd.)

Town	No. of Author.	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Star City	1			20,850.(2)	20,850.
Strasbourg	1	16,500.*			16,500.
Uranium City	1			12,000.(8)	12,000.
Vonda	1	13,300.*			13,300.
Wadena	7	1,500.*		15,750.(3)	63,970.
		1,200.*		17,920.(2)	
		6,000.*		12,000.(2)	
				9,600.(3)	
Waldheim	1	5,000.			5,000.
Warman	1	40,000.*			40,000.
Wynyard	1		4,414.		4,414.
	78	$571,119.	$239,877.	$1,110,227.	$1,921,223.

x Application partially refused.

* Capital portion chargeable against system as a whole. All others in this column are "local improvement" issues.

(1) Storm Sewers
(2) New or additions to civic or recreation centres, including skating rinks, curling rinks, swimming pools, golf courses, museums and libraries.
(3) New or additions to town offices, town maintenance buildings or fire halls.
(4) Alterations to the electrical distribution system.
(5) Subdividing, replotting and servicing land.
(6) Servicing and replacing water meters.
(7) Residence for municipal employee.
(8) Renovations to the Municipal Hospital.

APPENDIX C-2 (Cont'd.)

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO BORROW BY WAY OF DEBENTURE REFUSED OR PARTIALLY REFUSED

T O W N S

Town	Number	Sewer & Water	Buildings	Other	Total
Kamsack	1			150,000. (1)	150,000.
Lafleche	1			6,200. (2)	6,200.
	2			$156,200.	$156,200.

(1) Skating and Curling Rink

(2) Skating Rink

APPENDIX C-3

AUTHORIZATION OF DEBENTURE ISSUES

V I L L A G E S

Village	No. of Author.	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Bracken	1	732.*			732.
Brownlee	1	12,000.*			12,000.
Buchanan	1			30,000. (1)	30,000.
Coleville	1	4,700.*			4,700.
Edam	3			4,800. (1)	11,974.
				1,174. (2)	
				6,000. (3)	
Fillmore	1			40,500. (1)	40,500.
Fox Valley	3		10,378.	27,000. (1)	52,092.
			14,714.		
Hodgeville	1			30,000. (1)	30,000.
Kisbey	1			4,500. (4)	4,500.
Landis	1	9,407.			9,407.
LaRonge	1			3,000. (1)	3,000.
Leoville	1	22,901.			22,901.
Lintlaw	1			5,400. (3)	5,400.
Mankota	1			18,000. (5)	18,000.
Manor	1			10,950. (1)	10,950.
Maryfield	1	15,350.*			15,350.
Margo	1	9,000.*			9,000.
Montmartre	1			15,000. (5)	15,000.
Neilburg	1			8,250. (1)	8,250.
Perdue	1			7,500. (5)	7,500.

APPENDIX C-3 (Cont'd.)

Village	No. of Author.	Sewer & Water	Surface Works	Other	Total
Pilger	1	5,000.*			5,000.
Pilot Butte	2	19,940.*			40,730.
		20,790.			
Quinton	1	9,691.*			9,691.
Regina Beach	1	53,300.*			53,300.
Richmound	2			27,000.(1)	35,000.
				8,000.(1)	
				4,800.(1)x	4,800.
Simpson	1			68,000.(6)	68,000.
Southey	1			3,300.(3)	3,300.
Wishart	1			1,500.(7)	13,500.
Young	2	12,000.*		33,000.(1)	33,000.
Zenon Park	1				
	37	$194,811.	$25,092.	$357,674.	$577,577.

x Application partially refused.
* Capital portion chargeable against system as a whole. All others in this column are "local improvement" issues.

(1) New or additions to civic or recreation centres, including skating rinks, curling rinks, swimming pools and libraries.
(2) Building to house sewage lift pump units.
(3) Sidewalk construction.
(4) Fire fighting equipment.
(5) New or additions to village offices and fire halls.
(6) Building for the R.C.M.P.
(7) Installing proper garbage facilities.

APPENDIX C-3 (Cont'd.)

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO BORROW BY WAY OF DEBENTURE REFUSED OR PARTIALLY REFUSED

V I L L A G E S

Village	Number	Sewer & Water	Buildings	Other	Total
Simpson	1			$1,200. (1)	$1,200.

(1) Improvements to Community Centennial Centre.

APPENDIX C-4

AUTHORIZATION OF DEBENTURE ISSUES

RURAL MUNICIPALITIES

Rural Municipality	No. of Author.	Purpose	Total
Auvergne No. 76	1	Addition to machine shop	4,875.
Bratt's Lake No. 129	1	Municipal residence	18,000.
Buckland No. 491	1	Machine shop	15,000.
Clinworth No. 230	1	New waste disposal grounds, gravel search and two new wells	12,000.
Elcapo No. 154	1	Municipal shop and beach improvements	8,100.
Enterprise No. 142	1	Municipal maintenance building	3,600.
Excelsior No. 166	1	Municipal shop	15,000.

APPENDIX C-4 (Cont'd.)

Rural Municipality	No. of Author.	Purpose	Total
Flett's Springs No. 429	1	Road oiling	10,000.
Hillsdale No. 440	1	Municipal garage	12,750.
Langenburg No. 181	1	Veterinarian service clinic	20,000.
Loreburn No. 254	1	Machinery storage building	7,500.
Lost River No. 313	1	Construct 3 miles of road	7,500.
Maple Creek No. 111	1	Machine shop	7,500.
Mayfield No. 406	1	Machine shed and workshop	7,200.
Milton No. 292	1	New office building	30,000.
Moose Mountain No. 63	2	Two equipment storage buildings	7,398.
		Veterinary clinic building	18,750.
Morris No. 312	2	Stockpiling and crushing gravel	3,750.
		Machine storage building	9,000.
Mount Pleasant No. 2	1	Metal storage shed	x 12,000.
Nipawin No. 487	1	Municipal shop	18,300.
Porcupine No. 395	1	Converting town office building to house R.M. and Town offices	7,500.
Preeceville No. 334	1	Municipal workshop	12,000.
St. Louis No. 431	3	Renovate Hoey Community Hall	6,000.
		Renovate curling rink and community hall at St. Isidore de Bellevue	12,000.
		Municipal shed at Hoey	9,000.
St. Philips No. 301	1	Replace municipal bridges	7,500.
Sasman No. 336	1	New office building, renovate existing building and renovate machine shop	30,000.
Souris Valley No. 7	1	Municipal residence	9,928.
Spalding No. 368	1	Addition to workshop	7,500.

APPENDIX C-4 (Cont'd.)

Rural Municipality	No. of Author.	Purpose	Total
Spiritwood No. 496	1	Renovate municipal office building	18,600.
Torch River No. 488	1	New municipal office	27,360.
Swift Current No. 137	1	New municipal office	23,760.
Wallace No. 243	1	Two machine shops	21,000.
Waverley No. 44	1	Skating rink in Village of Glentworth	30,000.
Whiska Creek No. 106	1	Municipal shop	12,000.
Willow Creek No. 458	1	Municipal roads, garage and storage shed	2,790.
	37		$485,161.

x Application partially refused.

APPENDIX C-4 (Cont'd.)

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO BORROW BY WAY OF DEBENTURE REFUSED OR PARTIALLY REFUSED

RURAL MUNICIPALITIES

Rural Municipality	Number	Purpose	Total
Mount Pleasant No. 2	1	Metal storage shed	$11,500.

APPENDIX C-5

AUTHORIZATION OF DEBENTURE ISSUES

SCHOOL DISTRICTS

School District	No. of Author.		Total
Graton R.C.S. No. 13	4	x	300,000.
Prince Albert Public No. 3	1		23,000.
Prince Albert R.C.S. No. 6	2		168,916.
St. Paul's R.C.S. No. 20	6		314,000.
Unity R.C.S. No. 33	1		50,000.
Yorkton Public No. 159	1		71,420.
	15		$927,336.

x Applications partially refused.
All issues were for the construction of additions to existing schools.

APPENDIX C-5 (Cont'd.)

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO BORROW BY WAY OF DEBENTURE REFUSED OR PARTIALLY REFUSED

SCHOOL DISTRICTS

School District	Number	Total
Graton R.C.S. No. 13	4	$8,000.

APPENDIX C-6

AUTHORIZATION OF DEBENTURE ISSUES

SCHOOL UNITS

School Unit	No. of Author.		Total
Broadview No. 18	2		76,000.
Canora No. 37	1	x	40,000.
Cupar No. 28	1		61,000.
Eastend No. 8	2		95,800.
Estevan No. 2	2	(1)	18,700.
Herbert No. 23	1	(1)	30,000.
Humboldt No. 47	1	x	150,000.
Kamsack No. 35	1	(2)	40,000.
Kerrobert No. 44	3	x	101,950.
Kinistino No. 55	1		42,000.
Lanigan No. 40	1	(1) x	78,500.
Leader No. 24	1	x	17,000.
Long Lake No. 30	1		22,500.
Maple Creek No. 17	1	(1) x	40,000.
Meadow Lake No. 66	2		142,000.
Medstead No. 64	1	x	46,000.
Melville No. 26	3	x	46,450.
North Battleford No. 58	2	(1)	60,000.
Outlook No. 32	2		91,000.
Parkland No. 63	2		134,000.
Potashville No. 25	1		39,500.
Regina (East) No. 20	1		67,000.
Rosetown No. 43	1		20,000.

APPENDIX C-6 (Cont'd.)

School Unit	No. of Author.	Total
Rosthern No. 49	1	x 50,000.
Turtleford No. 65	1	73,000.
Wadena No. 46	2	57,787.
Weyburn No. 11	1	11,125.
Wood River No. 6	2	(1) x 43,800.
	41	$1,695,112.

x Application partially refused.
(1) Renovations to School Unit Offices
(2) Bux garage and bus equipment
All other issues were for the construction of additions to existing schools.

APPENDIX C-6 (Cont'd.)

APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO BORROW BY WAY OF DEBENTURE REFUSED OR PARTIALLY REFUSED

SCHOOL UNITS

School Unit	Number	Total
Canora No. 37	1	27,000.
Humboldt No. 47	1	96,000.
Kamsack No. 35	1	10,000.
Kerrobert No. 44	1	8,314.
Lanigan No. 40	1	11,500.

APPENDIX C-6 (Cont'd.)

School Unit	Number	Total
Leader No. 24	1	1,000.
Maple Creek No. 17	1	20,000.
Medstead No. 64	1	2,000.
Melville No. 26	1	8,000.
Rosthern No. 49	1	15,000.
Wood River No. 6	1	200.
	11	$199,014.

APPENDIX C-7

AUTHORIZATION OF DEBENTURE ISSUES

UNION HOSPITAL DISTRICTS

Hospital District	No. of Author.	Purpose	Total
Indian Head	1	Addition and renovations to present facilities	30,000.
Lanigan	1	New 32-bed hospital	400,000.
Nipawin	1	Boiler installation and construction deficit	15,000.
Swift Current	1	Renovations to present facilities	34,500.
	4		$479,500.

APPENDIX C-8

AUTHORIZATION OF DEBENTURE ISSUES
RURAL TELEPHONE COMPANIES

Telephone Company	No. of Author.	Purpose	Total
Odessa	1	Construct 27 miles of buried cable facilities	$25,000.

APPENDIX C-9

AUTHORIZATION OF DEBENTURE ISSUES
CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT AREAS

Conservation and Development Area	No. of Author.	Total
Flett's Springs No. 68	1	17,200.
Leroy West No. 136	1	24,000.
Tatagwa No. 13	1	16,000.
Spalding No. 48	1	13,000.
	4	$70,200.

All issues were for flood control.

APPENDIX D

PROPOSED LOCAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS
APPROVED BY BOARD 1972

Work likely to be done in 1972 and debentures issued in 1973

CITY	Sewer and Water Mains	Surface Works	Total
Lloydminster	4,622.	6,859.	273,459.
	47,724.	8,841.	
	1,981.	3,750.	
	12,901.	12,522.	
	4,521.	3,708.	
		9,173.	
		23,859.	
		27,347.	
		200,051.	
		2,400.	
		3,200.	
Melville	6,500.	15,900.	151,900.
	7,900.	121,600.	
Moose Jaw	57,200.	156,800.	650,234.
	27,400.	252,610.	
	88,410. (1)	62,614.	
	5,200.		

APPENDIX D (Cont'd.)

CITY	Sewer and Water Mains	Surface Works	Total
North Battleford		53,988.	163,813.
		7,070.	
		46,920.	
		55,835.	
Prince Albert	5,187.	10,670.	816,089.
	11,356.	31,161.	
	12,785.	143,500.	
	5,400.	24,000.	
	13,700.	10,400.	
	36,000.(1)	111,984.	
	22,800.(1)	25,222.	
	2,850.(1)		
	17,404.(1)		
	75,406.(1)		
	116,496.(1)		
	93,782.(1)		
	4,725.(1)		
	2,818.(1)		
	5,497.(1)		
	32,946.(1)		
Regina	23,310.	120,830.	1,202,950.
	44,390.	238,000.	
	206,800.	210,600.	
	8,010.	79,000.	
	5,450.	19,760.	
	1,800.(1)	174,470.	

APPENDIX D (Cont'd.)

CITY	Sewer and Water Mains	Surface Works	Total
Regina (cont'd.)	16,330.(1)		
	54,200.		
		158,780.	1,344,960.
Saskatoon	36,800.	79,420.	
	38,000.	75,920.	
	170,000.(1)	195,000.	
	442,610.(1)	139,520.	
		8,910.	
		69,100.	173,124.
Swift Current		11,475.	
		72,430.	
		20,119.	
		93,922.	227,390.
Weyburn	30,800.	17,575.	
	10,400.	12,800.	
		39,212.	
		17,311.	
		5,370.	
		41,210.	102,165.
Yorkton	5,380.	9,525.	
	7,910.	14,610.	
	12,500.	2,700.	
	8,330.		
Total for Cities	$1,846,531.	$3,359,553.	$5,206,084.

(1) Storm Sewers

APPENDIX D (Cont'd.)

TOWN	Sewer and Water Mains	Surface Works	Total
Assiniboia		29,500. 147,850.	177,350.
Biggar	650. 850.		1,500.
Birch Hills	3,685. 2,000.		5,685.
Blaine Lake		4,000.	4,000.
Canora	3,290. 4,764.	138,866. 3,480. 5,205. 4,453. 6,600.	166,658.
Gravelbourg	17,314.		17,314.
Gull Lake	5,890. 6,060.		11,950.
Humboldt	6,520. 7,490.	13,397. 26,040. 10,190.	63,637.
Kamsack		4,466.	4,466.
Kindersley		31,208.	31,208.
Kinistino		90,200.	90,200.
Lampman		77,107.	77,107.
Melfort		67,327. 4,578.	71,905.

APPENDIX D (Cont'd.)

TOWN	Sewer and Water Mains	Surface Works	Total
Rosetown		155,000. 4,648.	159,648.
Wynyard		9,902. 12,346.	22,248.
Total for Towns	$58,513.	$846,363.	$904,876.
VILLAGE			
Pilot Butte	78,408. 2,119. 7,369.		8, 896.
Regina Beach	3,914. 15,120.		19,034.
Total for Villages	$106,930.		$106,930.
		GRAND TOTAL	$6,217,890.

APPENDIX D (Cont'd.)

PROPOSED LOCAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

REFUSED BY BOARD 1972

CITY	Sewer and Water Mains	Surface Works	Total
Lloydminster	$105,400. (1)		$105,400.

(1) Storm Sewers

APPENDIX E

AUTHORIZATION OF SINKING FUND INVESTMENTS

Sinking Fund	Securities Purchased	Face Value of Security
Regina, City	City of Regina 3-3/4% Debentures	25,000.
	City of Regina 5-1/4% "	50,000.
	City of Regina 5-1/4% Debentures (U.S.Pay)	100,000.
	City of Regina 4-3/4% Debentures	1,000.
	City of Regina 4-3/4% Debentures	1,000.
	City of Regina 4-3/4% "	1,000.
	City of Regina 4-3/4% "	1,000.
	Regina Public School 4% Debentures	37,000.
	City of Regina 3-3/4% Debentures	25,000.
	City of Regina 5-1/2% "	30,000.
	City of Regina 5% Debentures	20,000.
	Regina Public School 4% Debentures	6,000.
	Regina Public School 5-3/4% Debentures	10,000.
	Regina Public School 5-1/2% "	30,000.
	Regina Public School 5-1/2% "	30,000.
	City of Regina 5-1/2% Debentures	50,000.
	Regina Public School 5-1/2% Debentures	5,000.
	Regina Public School 5-1/2% "	5,000.
	Regina Public School 5-1/2% "	5,000.
	Government of Canada 6-1/2%	250,000.
	Ontario Hydro-Electric 5% Debentures	250,000.
	City of Regina 5% Debentures	8,000.
	City of Regina 8% "	50,000.

APPENDIX E (Cont'd.)

Sinking Fund	Securities Purchased	Face Value of Security
Regina, City (cont'd.)	City of Regina 5-3/4% Debentures	40,000.
	City Savings and Trust Company 6.26% Paper	430,000.
	Province of Ontario 5% Debentures	70,000.
	Province of Ontario 5-1/2% Debentures	75,000.
	B.C. Electric Co. Ltd. 5-3/4% Debentures	50,000.
	City of Regina 3-3/4% Debentures	175,000.
	Province of Ontario 4-1/4% Debentures	25,000.
	Province of Ontario 5-1/2% Debentures	40,000.
	Province of Ontario 6% Debentures	20,500.
	Ontario Hydro 5% Debentures	100,000.
	Ontario Hydro 5-3/4% Debentures	100,000.
	Ontario Hydro 5% Debentures	30,000.
	City of Regina 5-1/2% Debentures	42,000.
	City of Regina 5-3/4% "	25,000.
	Ontario Hydro 5% Debentures	150,000.
	City of Regina 5% Debentures	1,000.
	Government of Canada 7/7-1/2%	370,000.
	Ontario Hydro 5% Debentures	350,000.
	City of Regina 4-3/4% Debentures	6,000.
	City of Regina 4-3/4% "	6,000.
	Government of Canada 7/7-1/2%	330,000.
	City of Regina 5-1/4% Debentures	40,000.
	City of Regina 5-3/4% "	25,000.
	City of Regina 5-1/4% "	25,000.
	City of Regina 5-3/4% "	50,000.
	Guaranty Trust Company 5% Term Deposit	300,000.
	City of Regina 8-1/4% Debentures	80,000.

APPENDIX E (Cont'd.)

Sinking Fund	Securities Purchased	Face Value of Security
Regina, City (cont'd.)	Ontario Hydro 5% Debentures	500,000.
	Bank of British Columbia 5.19% Note	300,000.
	City of Regina 5-3/4% Debentures	100,000.
	City of Regina 4-3/4% "	1,000.
	City of Regina 4-3/4% "	1,000.
		$4,847,500.
Saskatoon, City	Province of Ontario 5-1/2% Debentures	150,000.
	Province of Manitoba 5-1/4% "	100,000.
	Province of Ontario 4-3/4% "	250,000.
	City of Saskatoon 8% Debentures	2,000.
	City of Saskatoon 8% "	31,500.
	Ontario Hydro 5-1/4% "	75,000.
	Province of Ontario 5-3/4% Debentures	30,000.
	City of Estevan 5-3/4% Debentures	14,000.
	City of Prince Albert 6% Debentures	10,000.
	St. Paul's R.C.S.S.D.No.20 - 5-1/2% Debentures	35,000.
	St. Paul's R.C.S.S.D.No.20 - 7% Debentures	30,000.
	Saskatoon (East) School Unit 6% Debentures	30,000.
	Yorkton Public School District 6-3/4% Debentures	35,000.
	City of Melville 5-1/2% Debentures	25,000.
	Prince Albert Union Hospital 8% Debentures	20,000.
	Province of Ontario 5-1/2% Debentures	30,000.

APPENDIX E (Cont'd.)

Sinking Fund	Securities Purchased	Face Value of Security
Saskatoon, City (cont'd.)	Province of Nova Scotia 7-1/2% Debentures	100,000.
	Province of Ontario 4-3/4% Debentures	244,000.
	St. Paul's R.C.S.S.D.No.20 - 6% Debentures	31,000.
	City of Saskatoon 5-1/2% Debentures	2,000.
	City of Saskatoon 5-1/2% Debentures	2,000.
	Manitoba Hydro 8-1/4% Debentures	40,000.
	Manitoba Hydro 8-1/4% Debentures	40,000.
	City of Saskatoon 8% Debentures	24,762.
		$1,351,262.

APPENDIX F

SURPLUS SINKING FUND EARNINGS

WITHDRAWALS*

Sinking Fund	Purpose	Amount
Regina, City	Paying current or other expenditures	$430,724.
Regina, City	Payment to Saskatchewan Power Corporation from the Corporation Sinking Fund	48,677.
Saskatoon, City	Paying current or other expenditures	230,000.

* Surplus earnings of the current year only.

APPENDIX G

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATIONS RESPECTING PURCHASE AND SALE OF INVESTMENTS CONSIDERED BY BOARD IN 1972

Local Authorities	Number of Approvals	Amount	Number of Sales	Amount of Sales
Cities	12	2,895,000.	Nil	Nil
Towns	5	102,700.	Nil	Nil
Villages	2	12,000.	Nil	Nil
Rural Municipalities	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
School Units	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
School Districts	Nil	Nil	Nil	Nil
Union Hospitals	8	288,461.	Nil	Nil
Rural Telephones	Nil	Nil	1	3,500.
	27	$3,298,161.	1	$3,500.

APPENDIX H

CAPITAL ACCOUNTS INCLUDING ELECTRIC LIGHT TRUST ACCOUNTS

Local Authorities	Number of Withdrawals	Amount
4 Cities	18	964,788.
1 Town	2	4,220.
1 Hospital	1	2,300.
		$971,308.

APPENDIX I

CAPITAL TRUST FUNDS

CITIES

ANALYSIS OF AUTHORIZATIONS	Number
New funds created	Nil
Increases in existing funds	1
Decreases in existing funds	Nil
Withdrawals	4
Prepayments	Nil
Total Authorizations	5

ANALYSIS OF WITHDRAWALS

Number	Purchase of Machinery	Construction or Purchase of Bldgs.	Other	Total
4			$66,350.	$66,350.

Withdrawals are required to be repaid to the fund over a period of years.

APPENDIX I (Cont'd.)

CAPITAL TRUST FUNDS

TOWNS

ANALYSIS OF AUTHORIZATIONS

	Number
New funds created	6
Increases in existing funds	1
Decreases in existing funds	Nil
Withdrawals	18
Prepayments	Nil
Total Authorizations	25

ANALYSIS OF WITHDRAWALS

Number	Purchase of Machinery	Construction or Purchase of Bldgs.	Other	Total
9	97,215.			97,215.
7		69,380.		69,380.
2			57,617.	57,617.
	$97,215.	$69,380.	$57,617.	$224,212.

Withdrawals are required to be repaid to the fund over a period of years.

APPENDIX I (Cont'd.)

CAPITAL TRUST FUNDS

VILLAGES

ANALYSIS OF AUTHORIZATIONS

	Number
New funds created	3
Increases in existing funds	2
Decreases in existing funds	Nil
Withdrawals	11
Prepayments	Nil
Total Authorizations	16

ANALYSIS OF WITHDRAWALS

Number	Purchase of Machinery	Construction or Purchase of Bldgs.	Other	Total
3	4,900.			4,900.
4		35,000.		35,000.
4			25,000.	25,000.
	$4,900.	$35,000.	$25,000.	$64,900.

Withdrawals are required to be repaid to the fund over a period of years.

APPENDIX I (Cont'd.)

CAPITAL TRUST FUNDS

RURAL MUNICIPALITIES

ANALYSIS OF AUTHORIZATIONS

	Number
New funds created	8
Increases in existing funds	26
Decreases in existing funds	Nil
Withdrawals	72
Prepayments	7
Total Authorizations	113

ANALYSIS OF WITHDRAWALS

Number	Purchase of Machinery	Construction or Purchase of Bldgs.	Other	Total
61	1,029,660.			1,029,660.
3		22,700.		22,700.
8			79,180.	79,180.
	$1,029,660.	$22,700.	$79,180.	$1,131,540.

Withdrawals are required to be repaid to the fund over a period of years.

APPENDIX J

LOCAL AUTHORITY ESTIMATES APPROVED AND TAX RATES AUTHORIZED

Local Authority	Mill Rates Authorized					
	Municipal	Public School	High School	Separate School	Others	Total
Cana, R.M. No. 214	40				2	42
Douglas, R.M. No. 436	34					34
Foam Lake, R.M. No. 276	35					35
Hawarden, Village	40					40
Humboldt, Town	44	22	19	42	2.5	P.S. 87.5 S.S. 88.5
Invergordon,R.M. No. 430	32					32
Invermay, R.M. No. 305	38					38
Invermay, Village	31					31
Lost River, R.M. No. 313	36				2	38
MacNutt, Village	29					29
Prud'homme, Village	49					49
Riverhurst, Village	50					50
Scott, Town	35					35
Springside, Village	27					27
Stenen, Village	34					34
Surprise Valley,R.M. No. 9	33				2	35
Tullymet,R.M. No. 216	38					38
Uranium City, Municipal Corporation - District 1	76					76
- District 2 -Mine Equip.Mill Bldgs. and Mill	110					110
-Other	73					73
- District 3	67					67
Watrous, Town	36	39				75

APPENDIX K

INQUIRIES AND APPEALS HELD BY BOARD 1972

Local Authority	Subject of Inquiry or Appeal	Date
Saskatoon, City	Construct Storm Sewers under Section 4 (1) (e) of The Local Improvements Act	May 30
Moose Jaw, City	Construct Storm Sewers under Section 4 (1) (e) of The Local Improvements Act	June 2
Prince Albert, City	Construct Storm Sewers under Section 4 (1) (e) of The Local Improvements Act	June 28
Qu'Appelle Memorial Union Hospital District	Apportion the proceeds of the assets of the Hospital District	September 12
Moose Jaw, City	To fix a higher uniform rate per foot frontage for the construction of proposed sewer and water mains in the Wellesley Park District	September 19
Hudson Bay, Town	Construct Storm Sewers under Section 4 (1) (e) of The Local Improvements Act	December 5

APPENDIX L

ORDERS ISSUED BY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT BOARD IN 1972

Under Section 9 of The Public Health Act and
Sections 11, 17, 45 and 58 of The Union Hospital Act

Public Health Act (Section 9)	Fixing the amount of cost to be borne by participating municipalities in Health Regions
Melfort-Tisdale No. 11	
Moose Jaw-Assiniboia-Gravelbourg No. 2	
North Battleford No. 13	
Prince Albert No. 12	
Regina Rural No. 5	
Rosetown-Biggar-Kindersley No. 7	
Saskatoon Rural No. 8	
Swift Current No. 1	
Weyburn-Estevan No. 3	
Yorkton-Melville No. 10	
Union Hospital Act (Section 11)	Fixing share of estimated cost to be borne by participating areas (establishment) redistribution and redefined areas
Border	
Hudson Bay	
Lanigan	
Leader	
Ponteix	
Vanguard	

APPENDIX L (Cont'd.)

Union Hospital Act (Section 45)	Authorizing levy to create surplus for Capital Reserve Funds
Battlefords	
Biggar (Refused)	
Brock	
Wilkie	
Union Hospital Act (Section 58)	Fixing terms and conditions under which an area may be added to a hospital district
Border	
Indian Head (3)	
Leader	

APPENDIX O

MISCELLANEOUS APPLICATIONS DEALT WITH BY THE BOARD IN 1972

Local Authority	Total
Cities	123
Towns	116
Villages	48
Rural Municipalities	14
School Districts	18
School Units	18
Union Hospital Districts	19
- Under Section 20 of The Union Hospital Act	1
Rural Telephones	8
Conservation and Development Areas	5
School Districts Surplus Funds Account	4
Lloydminster Gas Company (Withdraw from Depreciation Reserve Account)	1
Local Government Board - Appointment to Advisory Committee	1
- General	81
- Staff	12
	469

APPENDIX Q

HOUSING COMPANY AGREEMENTS APPROVED

Under The Housing and Special-care Homes Act

BETWEEN	AND	OTHER AUTHORITY
Arm River Housing Corporation		Kenaston, Village Liberty, Village Big Arm, R.M. No. 251
Cupar and District Nursing Home Ltd.		Punnichy, Village
Cypress Lodge		Tompkins, Village Piapot, R. M. No. 110
Estevan Regional Nursing Home (Co. Ltd.)		Estevan, City Bienfait, Town Midale, Town Benson, Village Frobisher, Village Lake Alma, Village Macoun, Village North Portal, Village Torquay, Village Roche Percee, Village Benson, R.M. No. 35 Cambria, R.M. No. 6 Coalfields, R.M. No. 4 Cymri, R.M. No. 36 Estevan, R.M. No. 5 Souris Valley, R.M. No. 7

APPENDIX Q (Cont'd.)

BETWEEN	AND	OTHER AUTHORITY
Foam Lake Jubilee Home		Foam Lake, Town Insinger, Village Leslie, Village Sheho, Village Wishart, Village Emerald, R. M. No. 277 Foam Lake, R. M. No. 276 Insinger, R. M. No. 275 Invermay, R. M. No. 305
Gateway Lodge Limited		Preeceville, R. M. No. 334
Golden Prairie Home Ltd.		Indian Head, Town Sintaluta, Town Kendal, Village Montmartre, Village Francis, R. M. No. 127 Indian Head, R. M. No. 156 Montmartre, R. M. No. 126
Hudson Bay Pioneer Lodge		Hudson Bay, Town
Qu'Appelle Valley Housing Corporation		Fort Qu'Appelle, Town Qu'Appelle, Town Dysart, Village Lebret, Village Lipton, Village

APPENDIX Q (Cont'd.)

BETWEEN	AND	OTHER AUTHORITY
Qu'Appelle Valley Housing Corporation (cont'd.)		Lipton, R. M. No. 217 North Qu'Appelle, R. M. No. 187 South Qu'Appelle, R. M. No. 157
Quill Plains Centennial Lodge		Watson, Town Englefeld, Village Spalding, Village Lakeside, R. M. No. 338 Leroy, R. M. No. 339 St. Peter, R. M. No. 369 Spalding, R. M. No. 368
Tisdale and District Housing Co. Ltd.		Tisdale, Town, Ridgedale, Village Zenon Park, Village Barrier Valley, R. M. No. 397 Bjorkdale, R. M. No. 426 Connaught, R. M. No. 457 Star City, R. M. No. 428 Tisdale, R. M. No. 427
Weneeda Park Lodge		Archerwill, Village
Wynyard and District Housing Corporation		Raymore, Town Wynyard, Town Dafoe, Village Big Quill, R. M. No. 308 Elfros, R.M. No. 307 Kutawa, R. M. No. 278 Mount Hope, R.M. No. 279

APPENDIX R

DEFERRALS APPROVED BY THE BOARD 1972

CITIES	Amount	Repayment	Purpose
Regina	11,340.	1973-1982 - 7 1/2%	Financing city's share of land acquisition costs by the Wascana Centre Authority
	$11,340.		

TOWNS			
Biggar	5,333.	1973 & 1974 - 6 1/4%	Purchase property for recreation purposes
Biggar	12,750.	1973-1975 - 6 1/4%	Senior Citizens Housing
Rocanville	12,600.	1973-1975 - 6%	Improving sewage lagoon
Rocanville	6,000.	1973-1975 - 6%	Pavement, curb and gutter
Shellbrook	4,500.	1973 - 6%	Acquisition of property
Wilkie	2,900.	1973 - 6%	Backhoe loader
Wolseley	8,380.	1973-1975 - 6 1/2%	Medical centre
	$52,463.		

APPENDIX R (Cont'd.)

DEFERRALS APPROVED BY THE BOARD 1972

RURAL MUNICIPALITIES	Amount	Repayment	Purpose
Arlington No. 79	15,000.	1973-1975 - 7%	Road machinery
Biggar No. 347	50,000.	1973-1975 - 6 1/2%	Road machinery
Bjorkdale No. 426	30,000.	1973-1975 - 7%	Road machinery
Buffalo No. 409	15,000.	1973-1975 - 6%	New office building
Eagle Creek No. 376	4,998.	1973-1975 - 6%	Hard surfacing 6 miles of road
Fertile Valley No. 285	12,000.	1973 & 1974 - 6 1/4%	Road machinery
Grandview No. 349	4,667.	1973 & 1974 - 6 1/2%	Purchase property for development of gravel deposits
Grant No. 372	44,000.	1973 - 7%	Sixteen miles of access road
Lumsden No. 189	50,000.	1973 & 1974 - 8%	Grid road, farm access road, gravelling, & building project
Meota No. 468	5,200.	1973 & 1974 - 6 1/4%	Road machinery
Montrose No. 315	5,650.	1973-1975 - 6%	Road machinery
Porcupine No. 395	12,667.	1973 & 1974 - 8%	Road machinery
Reford No. 379	15,000.	1973-1975 - 6%	New office building
St. Philips No. 301	2,000.	1973 - 6%	Road machinery
Stanley No. 215	20,000.	1973-1975 - 6%	Road machinery
Tullymet No. 216	12,000.	1973 - 7%	Constructing roads
	$298,182.		
GRAND TOTAL:	$361,985.		

APPENDIX S

WATER RATES AND/OR SEWER SERVICE CHARGE
BYLAWS APPROVED BY THE BOARD 1972

T O W N S

Balcarres.....Sewer/Water*
Creighton.....Sewer/Water
Grenfell.....Sewer/Water
Hanley.....Sewer/Water
Kamsack.....Water*
Kinistino.....Sewer*
Meadow Lake.....Sewer/Water
Moosomin.....Sewer/Water
Naicam.....Water*
Oxbow.....Sewer/Water*
Porcupine Plain....Sewer/Water
Rocanville.....Sewer
Rosthern.....Sewer/Water*
Strasbourg.....Sewer/Water*
Wynyard.....Sewer/Water

V I L L A G E S

Bethune.....Sewer*/Water
Bradwell.....Sewer/Water
Cadillac.....Water
Dalmeny.....Water
Ebenezer.....Water
Edenwold.....Sewer
Glaslyn.....Sewer*
Jansen.....Sewer*/Water
Kenaston.....Sewer*/Water
Lake Lenore.....Sewer/Water
Landis.....Water
Lestock.....Sewer*
Loon Lake.....Sewer/Water
MacNutt.....Sewer/Water
Meath Park.....Sewer/Water
Odessa.....Sewer/Water*
Perdue.....Sewer/Water
Prud'homme.....Sewer/Water
Regina Beach.....Water
Riverhurst.....Sewer/Water
Stenen.....Sewer*/Water
Tompkins.....Sewer/Water
Vanscoy.....Water
Weldon.....Water
Yarbo.....Sewer*/Water
Young.....Sewer

* Amending Bylaw